

Effect of Foliar Spraying time by Different Micronutrients Nanoparticles on Sunflower Yield and its Attributes

Said, M.T.¹ and H.M. Noaman²



¹Agronomy Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt

²Department of Oil Crop Research, Field Crops Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt.

Accepted for publication on: 4/10/2021

Abstract

In order, to assess the effects of foliar spray time by different micronutrients nanoparticles on sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) cultivar, Sakha-53, a field experiment was organized in a randomized complete block design using strip plot arrangement with three replications during 2019 and 2020 seasons at Agronomy Department Farm, Agriculture Faculty, Assiut University, Egypt. Three different foliar spraying times of different micronutrients nanoparticles were allocated horizontally while eight treatments of spraying with different Micronutrient nanoparticles (2 gm/L) were arranged vertically. The results showed that foliar spraying twice after 30 and 50 days from sowing was significantly increased plant height, stem diameter and head diameter in both seasons. In addition, the highest mean values of seed yield (t fed.⁻¹) and oil yield (kg fed.⁻¹) were recorded when foliar spraying was done threes after 30,50 and 70 days from sowing. Foliar application of Iron, Manganese, and Zinc nanoparticles was superior all other treatments in this respect and registered the highest mean values of all studied yield attributes, seed yield, and oil yield in both seasons. The interaction between spraying time numbers and micronutrients nanoparticles significantly affected all sunflower yield attributes in both growing seasons. The highest mean values of seed yield (3.47 and 3.55 t fed.⁻¹) and oil yield (1765.88 and 1770.67 kg fed.⁻¹) were obtained from the interaction between T3 × S7 (foliar spraying three times after 30, 50 and 70 days from sowing date × spraying with Iron, Manganese and Zinc). It was concluded that foliar spray threes time at 30, 50 and 70 days from sowing using Iron, Manganese and Zinc nanoparticles could be used as a suitable method for improving seed yield and its attributes of sunflower cultivar, Sakha-53.

Keywords: Sunflower, foliar spraying times, Micronutrients, Nanoparticles, Seed and Oil yields

Introduction:

Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) plays a crucial role in oil production as one of the important oilseeds crops worldwide compared with soya, Canola, and peanut (FAO, 2020). Its oil contains unsaturated fatty acid in high concentrations (Oleic and Linoleic acids) and it is considered as good quality from the health point of

view. Numerous studies have shown that sunflower yield and its components are significantly influenced by micronutrients foliar spraying. For the best use of fertilizer, it is necessary to apply or that nutrients being available at the most significant demand, especially for micronutrient applications (Roberts, 2007). Laghari *et al.*,(2014) reported that there was a

desirable response in development and yield-related traits of a sunflower when foliar spray times were applied after 40 and 60 days from emergence. Additionally, Courtney *et al.*, (2019) stated that the absorption of phosphoric acid and its translocation by wheat leaves was affected by the foliar application time and use of surfactant in the formulation. Saad *et al.*, (2014) reported that when the zinc foliar application time was delayed until the flowering stage, this led to a significant increase in yield and its components of sunflower.

Nanotechnology is currently used in many fields and is constantly increasing, especially in the field of plant nutrition. Nanoparticles have a mean diameter of less than 100 nm, which means that the chemical and physical characteristics can be changed. (Monica and Cremonini 2009). However, nano-fertilizers have an effective role in the penetration of a plant using the foliar application or by the root architecture. (Raliya *et al.*, 2015). Moreover, nano-fertilizers have distinctive characteristics such as high surface area, high sorption capacity, and controlled-release kinetics to the target areas of the plant, which made it a smart delivery system. (Rameshaiah *et al.*, 2015; Solanki *et al.*, 2015). Micronutrient elements like Iron, Manganese, and Zinc play an important role in the plants, where they lead to increasing leaf area index, followed by increasing light absorption, and consequently increasing dry matter accumulation and yield (Ravi *et al.*, 2008). Iron is an essential factor in

chlorophyll formation, photosynthesis, and as an enzyme of systems and respiration in plants (Halvin *et al.*, 1999); hence application of iron nanoparticles increases yield. In wheat, Jaberzadeh *et al.*, (2013) used a foliar application of 2% of Nano-Fe which led to an increase in grain yield by 3.3%. Zinc is a vital component in many enzymes, and it has a stabilizing role in the structure of proteins, membranes, and DNA-binding proteins. (Aravind and Prasad, 2004). Singh (2015) reported that seed oil content increased with the increasing concentration of ZnS nanoparticles in sunflower. In addition, spraying of manganese nanoparticles has been shown to increase growth, yield, and components compared with manganese sulfate on *Vigna radiata* (L.) (Ghafariyan *et al.*, 2013).

This work aimed at assessing the response of sunflower cultivar Sakha-53 to foliar spraying time by different micronutrients nanoparticles.

Materials and Methods:

Plant materials and experimental site

Sakha-53 cultivar was sown during the 2019 and 2020 summer seasons at Agronomy department farm, Agriculture faculty, Assiut University, Egypt (lat. 27° 18' N, long 31° 16' E alt and 53 m a.s.l.). The preceding crop of the experimental site for the two seasons was wheat. The physical and chemical properties of the experimental site are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Some physical and chemical properties of the experimental Soil.

Soil property	Season 2019	Season 2020
Particle - size distribution		
Silt (%)	27.4	27.3
Sand (%)	24.3	25.2
Clay (%)	48.3	47.5
Texture	Clay	Clay
Organic matter (%)	1.75	1.72
Field capacity (%)	42.8	43.2
pH (1:1 suspension)	8.2	8.1
CaCO ₃ (%)	3.4	3.5
Available element		
Total nitrogen (%)	0.73	0.67
KCl-extractable N (mg kg ⁻¹ soil)	41.23	40.26
NaHCO ₃ -extractable P (mg kg ⁻¹ soil)	4.36	4.65
NH ₄ OAC-extractable K (mg kg ⁻¹ soil)	49.24	50.86
Fe (mg kg ⁻¹ soil)	8.96	9.50
Mn (mg kg ⁻¹ soil)	3.44	3.51
Zn (mg kg ⁻¹ soil)	0.50	0.55

* Each value represents the mean of three replications.

The above analysis was carried out in the Agricultural Research Center, Soil, Water & Environment Res. Institute Unite of Analysis & studies.

Experimental treatments and design:

The experiment was conducted out in a randomized complete blocks design (RCBD) using a strip plot arrangement with three replications. Three different foliar spraying times of different micronutrients nanoparticles were allocated horizontally:

- 1- T₁: foliar spraying after 30 days from sowing.
- 2- T₂: foliar spraying after 30 and 50 days from the sowing.
- 3- T₃: foliar spraying after 30, 50 and 70 days from sowing.

while eight treatments of spraying with different Micronutrient nanoparticles (2 gm/L) were arranged vertically: -

- 1- S₁: Control: spraying with water.
- 2- S₂: Super Iron (10% Fe, 5% amino acids and 25% Siderophores and organic acids).
- 3- S₃: Super Manganese (10% Mn, 5% amino acids, and 25% Siderophores and organic acids).

- 4- S₄: Super Zinc (10% Zn, 5% amino acids, and 25% Siderophores and organic acids).

- 5- S₅: S₂ + S₃.

- 6- S₆: S₂ + S₄.

- 7- S₇: S₃ + S₄.

- 8- S₈: S₂ + S₃ + S₄.

Cultural practices:

The area of the experimental unit was 10.5 m² (as 3.5 long 3.0 m width). Sunflower seeds were hand sown on rows 60 cm distance in hills 25 cm apart on 25th and 26th May in the first and second seasons, respectively.

Measured traits:

1- Yield attributes related traits: The following traits were measured from ten randomly guarded plants from each experimental unit at harvest time: plant height (cm), stem diameter (cm), head diameter (cm), number of seeds plant⁻¹, 100 seed weight, and seed weight plant⁻¹ were measured.

2- Seed yield (t fed⁻¹): Heads of two bagged inner ridges of each plot were

harvested and left two weeks until fully air-dried, then seeds were manually separated and weighted, and converted into t fed⁻¹.

3- Oil percentage (%): Oil percentage in sunflower seeds was estimated by extraction using Soxhlet apparatus and petroleum ether (bp 40- 60°C) as solvent according to A.O.A.C. (1995).

4- Oil yield (kg fed⁻¹): Oil yield = Seed yield fed⁻¹ × oil percentage.

Statistical analysis:

All collected data were subjected to the analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the SAS Statistical Software Package v.9.2 (SAS, 2008). Differences between means were compared by Least Significant Range (L.S.R.) values were used according to Duncan (1955).

Results and Discussion

1- Effect of spraying time numbers

The presented data in Table 2 reveal that all studied characteristics were affected significantly by spraying times except seed oil percentage in the first season only. Data showed that T₂ (foliar spraying after 30 and

50 days from sowing) achieved the highest mean values of plant height (221.9 cm and 207.1 cm) and stem diameter (2.7 cm and 3.0 cm) in the two respective seasons. T₂ and T₃ treatments also gave the highest mean values of 100 seed weight (6.70 and 6.90 g) in the first and second seasons, respectively. In both seasons, T₃ (foliar spraying after 30 and 50 and 70 days from sowing date) achieved the highest mean values of seed yield/plant per g (100.73 and 102.35), seed yield (3.02 and 3.07 t fed⁻¹), and oil yield (1447.61 and 1427.71 kg fed⁻¹), respectively. This may be due to the suitable phase of plant growth, which responded significantly to the foliar application by micronutrients, which resulted in photosynthetic products accumulated in the leaves (source) and transported to the seeds (sink) which enhanced the oil content.

Table 2. Effect of foliar spraying time with micronutrients' nanoparticles on Sunflower yield and its attributes in 2019 and 2020 seasons.

Spraying time	Plant height (cm)	Stem diameter (cm)	Head diameter (cm)	100 Seed weight (g)	Seed yield / plant (g)	Seed yield / fed (t)	Seed Oil (%)	Oil yield (kg fed ⁻¹)
Season 2019								
T1	197.3 c	2.6 b	19.6 b	6.37 b	93.10 c	2.79 c	46.87 a	1314.66 b
T2	221.9 a	2.7 a	20.8 a	6.70 a	95.09 b	2.85 b	47.67 a	1362.25 b
T3	207.2 b	2.7 a	21.0 a	6.48 b	100.73 a	3.02 a	47.70 a	1447.62 a
Season 2020								
T1	197.4 b	2.8 b	22.6 b	6.40 b	94.43 c	2.83 c	45.84 b	1299.01 b
T2	207.1 a	3.0 a	23.7 a	6.61 ab	96.58 b	2.90 b	48.00 a	1392.93 a
T3	198.3 b	2.8 b	22.1 b	6.90 a	102.35 a	3.07 a	46.26 ab	1427.71 a

Means with the same letter indicate insignificant at 5% probability level based on Duncan's multiple range test.

These findings are in a good line with those obtained by Ghulam *et al.*, (2014), Courtney *et al.*, (2019), Broadley *et al.*, (2007), and Saad *et al.*, (2014), reported that delaying the timing of zinc foliar spray to flowering phase caused a significant increase in seed yield and its components of sunflower.

2- Effect of spraying by micronutrients nanoparticle types

Data in Table 3 highlighted that all studied traits were affected significantly by micronutrients nanoparticle types. The treatment S7 (spraying with Fe, Mn, and Zn nanoparticles) was superior to all other treatments in this respect and registered the highest mean values of all studied yield attributes. In addition, this treatment recorded 220.4 and 207.8 cm plant height, 2.9 and 3.0 cm stem diameter, 21.4 and 26.7 cm head diameter, and 7.18 and 7.95 g 100 seed weight in the first and the second growing seasons, respectively. Consequently, the highest mean values of sunflower seed yield and oil yield were obtained from S7 (108.8 and 111.34 for seed yield per plant in g, 3.27 and 3.34 for seed yield t fed⁻¹, 50.72 and 48.29 % for oil seed percentage and 1656.32 and 1614.46 for oil yield fed⁻¹ per kg fed⁻¹). This may be due to the critical role of micronutrients in improving field crop pro-

duction. Indeed, Fe, Mn, and Zn perform a specific function in the plant tissues of field crops including oilseeds. Our results are in consistent with those obtained by Yari *et al.*, (2004); Movahhedy *et al.*, (2009); Ravi *et al.*, (2008) and Heidaria *et al.*, (2011). The desirable effect of zinc may be due to its important role in the activity of growth enzymes, which are responsible for increasing the biological processes, and yield components (Mathpal *et al.*, 2015). It is worth noting that zinc plays an important role in the formation of chloroplasts and proteins synthesis. Iron is also necessary for the process of chlorophyll formation and photosynthesis and is important in plant respiration. (Havlin *et al.*, (1999). Manganese plays important roles in many physiological processes such as photosynthesis, O₂ release, lipids metabolisms, and carbohydrate synthesis. (Wilson *et al.*, 1982). The advantages of using nanoparticles may be attributed to many characteristics such as large surface area, fast mass transfer, easy attachment, improving the stability of agrochemicals, and protecting them from degradation, which in turn led to increased efficiency and reduced quantities of agrochemicals. (Khodakovskaya *et al.*, 2012).

Table 3. Effect of foliar spraying different micronutrient's nanoparticles on Sunflower yield and yield attributes in 2019 and 2020 seasons.

Micro nano Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Stem diameter (cm)	Head diameter (cm)	100 Seed weight (g)	Seed yield / plant (g)	Seed yield / fed (t)	Seed Oil (%)	Oil yield (kg fed ⁻¹)
Season 2019								
S ₁ : Control	199.7 d	2.6 c	19.0 d	5.12 e	84.93 d	2.55 d	42.76 d	1089.62 e
S ₂ : Fe	202.7 cd	2.6 c	20.3 bc	6.59 cd	90.38 cd	2.71 cd	47.48 bc	1287.40 cd
S ₃ : Mn	206.1 bcd	2.6 c	19.9 cd	6.35 d	91.19 cd	2.74 cd	46.24 c	1267.08 de
S ₄ : Zn	208.6 bcd	2.6 c	20.3 abc	6.41 d	96.18 bc	2.89 bc	48.08 bc	1383.58 bcd
S ₅ : Fe+Mn	206.2 bcd	2.7 b	20.8 abc	6.83 bc	94.94 bcd	2.85 bcd	47.08 bc	1340.03 bcd
S ₆ : Fe+Zn	211.2 bc	2.7 b	21.2 ab	6.88 b	100.20 abc	3.01 abc	48.77 ab	1471.96 abc
S ₇ : Mn+Zn	215.2 ab	2.6 c	20.9 abc	6.76 bc	103.79 ab	3.11 ab	48.22 bc	1502.74 ab
S ₈ :Fe+Mn+Zn	220.4 a	2.9 a	21.4 a	7.18 a	108.84 a	3.27 a	50.72 a	1656.32 a
Season 2020								
S ₁ : Control	190.1 c	2.7 d	17.9 c	5.12 d	89.49 d	2.69 d	44.19 c	1189.25 e
S ₂ : Fe	193.3 bc	2.7 d	21.4 b	6.51 bc	90.38 cd	2.71 cd	46.25 abc	1253.96 cde
S ₃ : Mn	199.2 abc	2.7 d	21.4 b	5.85 c	90.96 cd	2.73 cd	45.32 bc	1237.55 de
S ₄ : Zn	202.6 abc	3.0 ab	21.8 b	6.56 bc	95.60 bcd	2.87 bcd	47.55 ab	1367.73 bcd
S ₅ : Fe+Mn	204.7 ab	3.0 abc	22.3 b	6.98 b	97.05 bcd	2.91 bcd	47.16 ab	1373.21 bcd
S ₆ : Fe+Zn	204.1 ab	2.8 cd	25.1 a	7.08 b	105.97 ab	3.18 ab	47.90 ab	1521.45 ab
S ₇ : Mn+Zn	205.8 ab	2.9 bc	25.9 a	7.03 b	101.50 abc	3.05 abc	46.92 ab	1428.12 bc
S ₈ :Fe+Mn+Zn	207.8 a	3.0 a	26.7 a	7.95 a	111.34 a	3.34 a	48.29 a	1614.47 a

Means with the same letter indicate insignificant at 5% probability level based on Duncan's multiple range test.

3- Effect of interaction between spraying time numbers and micronutrient's nanoparticle types:

For the interaction effects, the presented data in Tables 4 and 5 show that the interaction between spraying time numbers and micronutrients nanoparticle types significantly affected all sunflower yield attributes in both growing seasons. Thus, the highest mean values of plant height (223.0 and 235.0 cm) were obtained from the interaction between T₂ × S₄ and T₂ × S₈ in the 2019 season and 2020 season, respectively. Meanwhile, the highest mean values of stem diameter and head diameter (3.2 and 27.7 cm) was obtained from T₂ × S₇ in the first season; however, the highest mean values of stem diameter

and head diameter (3.1 and 22.7 cm) were obtained from T₃ × S₈ and T₃ × S₃ in the second season respectively. Also, the highest mean values of 100 seed weight (8.49 and 7.68 g) were obtained from T₃ × S₇ and T₃ × S₈ in seasons 2019 and 2020, respectively. Hence, the interaction between spraying time numbers and micronutrients nanoparticle may be due to that the time of nanoparticles application is more important than using the adjuvant with an early application that causes leaf damage, and consequently helping the plant's ability to translocate nutrients by reducing leaf damage. Babaeian *et al.*, (2011b) found an increasing in the seed and oil yields with increasing levels of zinc application when they applied zinc on

the sunflower leaves during the flowering stage. Moreover, micronutrient nanoparticles enter through the cell wall easily and reach up to the plasma membrane because they have diameters less than the pore size of the plant cell wall (Moore, 2006 and Navarro *et al.*, 2008). The improvement in the agronomic traits of sunflowers because of using foliar application of micronutrients could be attributed to direct and rapid absorption

of these micronutrients on the crop's leaves. Our results are consistent with those obtained by other researchers in many crops, including that sunflower (Khurana and Chatterjee, 2001, Fageria 2016). Likewise, Babaeian *et al.*, (2011a) and Abou-Bakr *et al.*, (2019) concluded that foliar application of Zn and Fe led to increasing plant height, 1000-seed weight, seed yield, and oil content of sunflower.

Table 4. Effect of the interaction between foliar spraying time and the micronutrient's nanoparticle types on Sunflower yield attributes in 2019 season.

Spraying time	Micro nano Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Stem diameter (cm)	Head diameter (cm)	100 Seed weight (g)
T1	S ₁ : Control	191.3 hi	2.6 e~h	19.1 def	5.12 l
	S ₂ : Fe	185.0 i	2.4 gh	19.1 def	6.66 d~g
	S ₃ : Mn	190.0 i	2.6 d~g	18.3 f	5.79 jk
	S ₄ : Zn	199.0 f~i	2.6 c~fg	19.3 c~f	6.50 e~i
	S ₅ : Fe+Mn	194.0 ghi	2.7 c~f	19.4 c~f	6.17 hij
	S ₆ : Fe+Zn	191.7 hi	2.5 e~h	20.7 a~e	6.46 f~i
	S ₇ : Mn+Zn	211.0 c~g	2.5 e~h	19.9 b~f	7.32 abc
	S ₈ : Fe+Mn+Zn	216.0 b~f	2.6 e~h	21.2 a~d	6.94 c~f
T2	S ₁ : Control	208.7 d~h	2.6 c~g	19.1 def	5.16 l
	S ₂ : Fe	220.0 a~e	2.5 fgh	21.2 a~d	6.41 ghi
	S ₃ : Mn	216.0 b~f	2.5 e~h	18.7 ef	7.01 cd
	S ₄ : Zn	212.7 c~f	2.7 c~f	20.4 a~f	6.10 ijk
	S ₅ : Fe+Mn	223.7 a~d	2.8 bcd	22.3 a	7.68 a
	S ₆ : Fe+Zn	227.3 abc	2.8 bc	21.4 a~d	6.98 cde
	S ₇ : Mn+Zn	231.7 ab	2.5 e~h	21.7 abc	7.26 abc
	S ₈ : Fe+Mn+Zn	235.0 a	2.9 ab	21.9 ab	6.97 cde
T3	S ₁ : Control	199.0 f~i	2.6 e~h	18.7 ef	5.08 l
	S ₂ : Fe	203.0 e~i	2.8 bcd	20.5 a~f	6.71 d~g
	S ₃ : Mn	212.3 c~g	2.7 c~f	22.7 a	6.27 ghi
	S ₄ : Zn	214.0 b~f	2.4 h	21.4 a~d	6.61 d~h
	S ₅ : Fe+Mn	201.0 f~i	2.7 cde	20.5 a~f	6.65 d~h
	S ₆ : Fe+Zn	214.7 b~f	2.6 c~g	21.5 a~d	7.19 bc
	S ₇ : Mn+Zn	203.0 e~i	2.8 bc	21.2 a~d	5.69 k
	S ₈ : Fe+Mn+Zn	210.3 c~g	3.1 a	21.1 a~d	7.64 ab

Means with the same letter indicate insignificant at 5% probability level based on Duncan's multiple range test.

Table 5. Effect of the interaction between foliar spraying time and the micronutrient's nanoparticle types on Sunflower yield attributes in 2020 season.

Spraying time	Micro nano Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Stem diameter (cm)	Head diameter (cm)	100 Seed weight (g)
T1	S ₁ : Control	189.3 ef	2.7 e~h	18.5 j	4.77 l
	S ₂ : Fe	190.3 def	2.5 hi	21.0 hi	6.97 c~h
	S ₃ : Mn	196.7 c~f	2.6 f~i	21.5 hi	5.57 i~l
	S ₄ : Zn	187.7 f	3.0 a~e	21.8 ghi	6.23 f~j
	S ₅ : Fe+Mn	202.7 b~f	2.9 b~f	21.7 ghi	6.53 e~i
	S ₆ : Fe+Zn	207.0 a~e	2.7 e~h	24.3 ef	6.76 d~h
	S ₇ : Mn+Zn	209.3 a~d	3.1 a~d	25.1 b~e	6.61 e~i
	S ₈ : Fe+Mn+Zn	196.0 c~f	3.1 ab	27.0 a	7.78 a~d
T2	S ₁ : Control	189.3 ef	2.8 d~h	17.8 j	5.47 jkl
	S ₂ : Fe	199.3 b~f	3.1 a~d	22.1 ghi	6.43 e~j
	S ₃ : Mn	204.3 a~f	2.9 b~f	21.9 ghi	5.42 jkl
	S ₄ : Zn	223.0 a	3.1 abc	23.0 fgh	7.30 b~e
	S ₅ : Fe+Mn	202.0 b~f	2.9 b~f	23.5 efg	7.23 b~f
	S ₆ : Fe+Zn	206.0 a~f	2.8 c~g	26.5 abc	7.09 c~g
	S ₇ : Mn+Zn	216.0 ab	3.2 a	27.7 a	6.00 h~k
	S ₈ : Fe+Mn+Zn	217.0 ab	3.1 abc	26.8 ab	7.92 abc
T3	S ₁ : Control	191.7 c~f	2.7 e~h	17.3 j	5.13 kl
	S ₂ : Fe	190.3 def	2.6 ghi	21.0 hi	6.13 g~k
	S ₃ : Mn	196.7 c~f	2.7 e~h	20.7 i	6.55 e~i
	S ₄ : Zn	197.0 c~f	3.0 a~d	20.7 i	6.16 g~j
	S ₅ : Fe+Mn	209.3 a~d	3.1 a~d	21.7 ghi	7.18 b~g
	S ₆ : Fe+Zn	199.3 b~f	3.0 a~d	24.5 def	7.41 b~e
	S ₇ : Mn+Zn	192.0 c~f	2.4 i	24.8 c~f	8.49 a
	S ₈ : Fe+Mn+Zn	210.3 abc	2.9 a~e	26.3 a~d	8.15 ab

Means with the same letter indicate insignificant at 5% probability level based on Duncan's multiple range test.

Regarding to the interaction effect on seed and oil yields, data in tables 6 and 7 show that the interaction between spraying time and micronutrients nanoparticles had a significant effect on seed and oil yields in both growing seasons. The highest mean values of seed yield (115.45 and 118.20 g plant⁻¹), seed yield (3.47 and 3.55 t fed⁻¹), and oil yield (1765.88 and 1770.67 kg fed⁻¹) were obtained from the interaction between T₃ × S₇ (foliar spraying after 30, 50 and 70 days from sowing date × spraying with Iron, Manganese, and Zinc) in the first and second growing seasons

respectively. Moreover, the highest mean values for seed oil percentage (52.23 and 51.24 %) were obtained from foliar spraying after 30, 50, and 70 days from sowing date × spraying with Iron and Zinc and foliar spraying after 30 and 50 days from sowing date × spraying with Iron and Manganese in the first and second growing seasons respectively. Meanwhile, the highest oil yield (1765.88 and 1770.67 kg fed⁻¹) were obtained from the interaction T₃ × S₇ (foliar spraying after 30, 50 and 70 days from sowing date × spraying with Iron, Manganese, and Zinc). Higher seed

yield might be associated with foliar fertilization with Iron, Manganese, and Zinc during pollen development. This may increase pollen germination and pollen viability, increasing the translocation of sugars and photosynthesis from source to sink, which enhances the seed setting percentage. The increase in the seed yield of sun-

flower may also be through a prolonged photosynthetic capacity during flowering and seed-setting or organ development from the biomass increase. Similar findings were reported by Fernández and Eichert, (2009); Movuhdi *et al.*, (2001); Fernández and Brown, (2013) and Kavita *et al.*, (2018).

Table 6. Effect of the interaction between foliar spraying time and the micronutrient's nanoparticle types on Sunflower yield in 2019 season.

Spraying time	Micro nano Treatments	Seed yield / plant (g)	Seed yield / fed (t)	Seed Oil (%)	Oil yield (kg fed ⁻¹)
T1	S ₁ : Control	84.06 i	2.52 i	42.07 j	1061.08 k
	S ₂ : Fe	89.47 f~i	2.68 f~i	46.16 e~h	1239.98 ij
	S ₃ : Mn	89.57 f~i	2.69 fghi	46.16 e~h	1242.12 ij
	S ₄ : Zn	87.95 ghi	2.64 ghi	49.25 a~e	1299.35 f~i
	S ₅ : Fe+Mn	86.78 ghi	2.60 ghi	46.76 d~h	1217.91 ij
	S ₆ : Fe+Zn	96.54 cd	2.90 cd	45.44 f~i	1322.30 e~i
	S ₇ : Mn+Zn	104.47 b	3.13 b	48.36 b~g	1519.53 cd
	S ₈ : Fe+Mn+Zn	105.93 b	3.18 b	50.80 ab	1614.98 bc
T2	S ₁ : Control	85.87 hi	2.58 hi	43.49 hij	1120.25 jk
	S ₂ : Fe	90.84 e~h	2.73 e~h	47.75 b~g	1300.09 f~i
	S ₃ : Mn	92.23 d~g	2.77 d~g	46.33 e~h	1283.75 ghi
	S ₄ : Zn	95.53 cde	2.87 cde	49.91 a~d	1430.29 def
	S ₅ : Fe+Mn	94.30 c~f	2.83 c~f	47.69 b~g	1347.27 e~i
	S ₆ : Fe+Zn	98.39 c	2.95 c	48.63 b~f	1433.62 def
	S ₇ : Mn+Zn	98.52 c	2.96 c	47.18 c~g	1394.61 d~h
	S ₈ : Fe+Mn+Zn	105.04 b	3.15 b	50.43 abc	1588.11 bc
T3	S ₁ : Control	84.87 i	2.55 i	42.72 ij	1087.52 k
	S ₂ : Fe	90.84 e~h	2.73 e~h	48.52 b~f	1322.12 e~i
	S ₃ : Mn	91.76 d~g	2.75 d~g	46.23 e~h	1275.36 hi
	S ₄ : Zn	105.08 b	3.15 b	45.08 g~j	1421.11 defg
	S ₅ : Fe+Mn	103.73 b	3.11 b	46.79 d~h	1454.91 de
	S ₆ : Fe+Zn	105.67 b	3.17 b	52.23 a	1659.96 ab
	S ₇ : Mn+Zn	108.37 b	3.25 b	49.11 a~e	1594.06 bc
	S ₈ : Fe+Mn+Zn	115.54 a	3.47 a	50.92 ab	1765.88 a

Means with the same letter indicate insignificant at 5% probability level based on Duncan's multiple range test.

Table 7. Effect of the interaction between foliar spraying time and the micronutrient's nanoparticle types on Sunflower yield in 2020 season.

Spraying time	Micro nano Treatments	Seed yield / plant (g)	Seed yield / fed (t)	Seed Oil (%)	Oil yield (kg fed ⁻¹)
T1	S ₁ : Control	88.06 i	2.64 i	44.88 fgh	1185.69 jk
	S ₂ : Fe	89.47 hi	2.68 hi	49.72 a~d	1334.58 ghi
	S ₃ : Mn	88.91 i	2.67 i	44.12 fgh	1178.00 k
	S ₄ : Zn	87.39 i	2.62 i	44.94 fgh	1178.49 k
	S ₅ : Fe+Mn	88.74 i	2.66 i	45.67 e~h	1216.10 jk
	S ₆ : Fe+Zn	106.67 bc	3.20 bc	46.13 efg	1477.02 c~f
	S ₇ : Mn+Zn	97.80 ef	2.93 ef	45.47 e~h	1334.74 ghi
	S ₈ : Fe+Mn+Zn	108.37 bc	3.25 bc	45.76 e~h	1487.47 cde
T2	S ₁ : Control	90.54 hi	2.72 hi	43.48 gh	1181.04 k
	S ₂ : Fe	90.84 ghi	2.73 ghi	45.87 e~h	1250.99 h~k
	S ₃ : Mn	92.23 ghi	2.77 ghi	47.02 def	1301.13 hij
	S ₄ : Zn	94.96 fgh	2.85 fgh	47.98 cde	1366.45 fgh
	S ₅ : Fe+Mn	96.38 efg	2.89 efg	51.24 a	1482.29 c~f
	S ₆ : Fe+Zn	100.59 de	3.02 de	48.20 b~e	1450.00 d~g
	S ₇ : Mn+Zn	99.67 def	2.99 def	51.00 ab	1526.24 b~e
	S ₈ : Fe+Mn+Zn	107.45 bc	3.22 bc	49.17 a~d	1585.26 bc
T3	S ₁ : Control	89.87 hi	2.72 hi	44.22 fgh	1201.01 jk
	S ₂ : Fe	90.84 ghi	2.73 ghi	43.15 h	1176.31 k
	S ₃ : Mn	91.76 ghi	2.75 ghi	44.81 fgh	1233.52 ijk
	S ₄ : Zn	104.46 cd	3.13 cd	49.73 a~d	1558.25 bcd
	S ₅ : Fe+Mn	106.02 bc	3.18 bc	44.58 fgh	1421.23 efg
	S ₆ : Fe+Zn	110.65 b	3.32 b	49.36 a~d	1637.34 b
	S ₇ : Mn+Zn	107.04 bc	3.21 bc	44.30 fgh	1423.37 efg
	S ₈ : Fe+Mn+Zn	118.20 a	3.55 a	49.94 abc	1770.67 a

Means with the same letter indicate insignificant at 5% probability level based on Duncan's multiple range test.

These findings can be attributed to the role of micronutrients of stimulating and enhancing plant enzymatic activity. Moreover, microelements have an essential role in photosynthesis and translocation of assimilates to the seed by applying Fe, Mn, and Zn at proper time of application. These findings are in a good line with those obtained by Heidaria *et al.*, (2011); Yari *et al.*, (2004) and Mohammad *et al.*, (2012). On other hand, the reduction on seed and oil yields at control might be attributed to micronutrient deficiency which in turn resulted in reduction of growth and development of plant, less photosynthetic activity and less translocation of photosyn-

thates to sink, reduced source to sink ratio which further resulted in decreased yield and yield attributes.

Conclusion

It was concluded that foliar spray three times at 30, 50 and 70 days from sowing using Iron, Manganese and Zinc nanoparticles could be considered a convenient strategy for improving sunflower yield and its attributes on Sakha-53 cultivar.

References

- A.O.A.C. (1995). Association of official Agriculture Chemists" Official Methods of Analysis.
- Abou-Bakr, Asmaa S.; E.M.M. Shalaby; A.M. Mahmoud; E.A. Ali and A. M. Hassan (2019). Response of Two Sunflower Cultivars to Foliar

- Spray by Different Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles Concentrations. Assiut J. Agric. Sci., 50 (3):16-26.
- Abou-El-nour E.A.A. (2002). Can supplemented potassium foliar feeding reduce the recommended soil potassium. Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences, 5: 259-262.
- Aravind P. and M. N.V. Prasad (2004). Zinc protects chloroplasts and associated photochemical functions in cadmium exposed *Ceratophyllum demersum* L., a freshwater macrophyte. Plant Science. 166 (5):1321-1327.
- Babaeian, M.; A. Tavassoli, A., Ghanbari, Y. Esmailian and M. Fahimifard (2011a). Effects of foliar micronutrient application on osmotic adjustments, grain yield and yield components in sunflower (Alstar cultivar) under water stress at three stages. Afr. J. Agric. Res. 6 (5): 1204-1208.
- Babaeian M.; I. Piri, A. Tavassoli, Y. Esmailian and H. Gholami (2011b). Effect of water stress and micronutrients (Fe, Zn and Mn) on chlorophyll fluorescence, leaf chlorophyll content and sunflower nutrient uptake in Sistan region. Afr. J. Agric. Res., 6 (15): 3526-3531.
- Broadley, M.R.; P.J. White, J.P. Hammond, I. Zelko and A. Lux (2007). Zinc in plant. J. of plant philologist. 173: 677 -702.
- Duncan, D.B. (1955). Multiple range and multiple F tests. Biometrics. 11: 1-42.
- Fageria, N.K. (2016). The use of nutrients in crop plants. Boca Raton: CRC press, pp. 448. eBook ISBN 9781420075113 DOI: 10.1201/9781420075113.
- FAO (2020). Statistical Yearbook, World food and agriculture. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome.
- Fernández, V. and P.H. Brown (2013). From plant surface to plant metabolism: the uncertain fate of foliar applied nutrients. Front. In Plant Sci. 4, 289. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2013.00289
- Fernández, V. and T. Eichert (2009). Uptake of hydrophilic solutes through plant leaves: current state of knowledge and perspectives of foliar fertilization. Crit.Rev. Plant Sci. 28: 36-68. doi: 10.1080/07352680902743069
- Fernández, V., T. Sotiropoulos and P. Brown. (2013). Foliar fertilization: scientific principles and field practices. Paris, France. Int. Fert. Ind. Assoc. (IFA): 12-70.
- Ghafariyan M.H.; M.J. Malakouti, M.R. Dadpour, P. Stroeve and M. Mahmoudi (2013). Effects of magnetite nanoparticles on soybean chlorophyll. Environmental Science and Technology, 47: 10645-10652.
- Ghulam M.L.; A.C. Imran, A.S. Aijaz, C.O. Fateh, G. Allahwadayo (2014). Effect of foliar N application concentrations and times on sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) yield. European Academic Research, 2(8): 10815- 10832.
- Havlin, J.L.; J.D. Beaton, S.L. Tisdale, and W.L. Nelson (1999). Soil fertility and fertilizers: An introduction to nutrient management. London: Prentice-Hall, Inc., pp. 406 425.
- Heidarian A.R.; H. Kord, K. Mostafavi, A.P. Lak, M.F. Amini (2011). Investigating Fe and Zn foliar application on yield and its components\ of soybean (*Glycine max* (L) Merr.) at different growth stages. J. Agric. Biotechnol. Sustain. Dev., 3(9): 189 -197.
- Jaberzadeh A; P. Moaveni, H.R.T. Moghadam and H. Zahedi (2013). Influence of bulk and nanoparticles titanium foliar application on some

- agronomic traits, seed gluten and starch contents of wheat subjected to water deficit stress. *Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici*, 41(1): 201-207.
- Kavita M. G.; K.N. Geetha, N.N. Lingaraju, A.G. Shankar and R. Ramesh (2018). Response of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) to nano boron nitride fertilization *International Journal of Chemical Studies* 2018; 6(5): 2624-2630.
- Khodakovskaya, M.V.; K. De silva, A.S. Biris, E. Dervishi, and H. Villagarcia (2012). Carbon nanotubes induce growth enhancement of tobacco cells. In *ACS Nano*, 6(3): 2128–2135. DOI: 10.1021/nn204643g
- Khurana, N. and C. Chatterjee (2001). Effect of zinc on reproductive physiology of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). *Indian J. Agri. Sci.*, 72 (1): 57-59.
- Mathpal B.; P.C. Srivastava, D. Shankhdhar, and S.C. Shankhdhar (2015). Improving key enzyme activities and quality of rice under various methods of zinc application. In *Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants*, 21 (4): 567–572. DOI: 10.1007/s12298-015-0321-3
- Mohammad G.; R. Mahmoud and T. Abolfazl (2012). Effect of micro-nutrients foliar application on yield and seed oil content of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius*). *African Journal of Agricultural Research*. 7(3): 482-486, 19 January,
- Monica, R.C. and R. Cremonini. (2009). Nanoparticles and higher plants. *International Journal of Cytology, Cytosystematics and Cytogenetics* 62 (2): 161–165.
- Moore M, (2006). Do nanoparticles present Eco toxicological risks for the health of the aquatic environment. *Environment International*, 32: 967-976.
- Movahhedy, D. M; S.S. Modarres and B.A. Mokhtassi (2009). Foliar application of zinc and manganese improves seed yield and quality of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) grown under water deficit stress. *Ind. Crops Prod.*, 30: 82-92.
- Navarro E.; A. Baun, R. Behra, N.B. Hartmann, J. Filser, A. Miao, A. Quigg, P. H. Santschi and L. Sigg (2008). Environmental behavior and ecotoxicity of engineered nanoparticles to algae, plants, and fungi *Ecotoxicology* 17:372–386.
- Raliya, R.; R. Nair, S. Chavalmane, W.N. Wang and P. Biswas (2015). Mechanistic evaluation of translocation and physiological impact of titanium dioxide and zinc oxide nanoparticles on the tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) plant. *Metallomics* 2015, 7: 1584–1594, doi:10.1039/c5mt00168d.
- Rameshaiah, G.N.; J. Pallavi and S. Shabna (2015). Nano fertilizers and nano sensors - an attempt for developing smart agriculture. *International Journal of Engineering Research and General Science*, 3: 314-320.
- Ravi S.; H.T. Channal, N.S. Hebsur, B.N. Patil, and P.R. Dharmatti (2008). Effect of sulphur, zinc and iron nutrition on growth, yield, nutrient uptake and quality of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.). *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, 21: 382-385.
- Roberts, T.L. (2007). Right product, right rate, right time, and right place the foundation of best management practices for fertilizer. *Fertil. Best Manag. Pract.*, 29, 1–8.
- Roemheld V. and M.M. El-Fouly (1999). Foliar nutrient application Challenge and limits in crop production. *Proceedings of the 2nd Inter-*

- national Workshop on Foliar Fertilization, Bangkok, Thailand, pp. 4-10.
- Saad A.M.A. (2014). Effect of Different Levels and Timing of Zinc Foliar Application on Growth, Yield and Quality of Sunflower Genotypes (*Helianthus annuus* L.). College of Basic Education Researchers Journal. 13 (1): 907-922.
- SAS institute (2008). The SAS System for Windows, release 9.2. Cary NC: SAS Institute.
- Singh O. and S. Kumar (2012). Productivity and profitability of rice as influence by high fertility levels and their residual effect on wheat. Indian Journal of Agronomy, 57: 143-147.
- Singh S. (2015). Effect of Fe and Zn on growth of Canola. S. Environmental, 34 (1-2): 57-63.
- Solanki P; A. Bhargava, H. Chhipa, N. Jain and J. Panwar (2015). Nanofertilizers and their smart delivery system. Nanotechnologies in Food and Agriculture, (Eds. M. Rai *et al.*), Springer International Publishing, Switzerland, pp. 81-101.
- Wilson D.O.; F.C. Boswell, K. Ohki, M.B. Parker, L.M. Shuman and M.D. Jellum (1982). Change in soybean seed oil and protein as influenced by manganese nutrition. Crop Sci., 22: 948-952.
- Yari L.; S.A.M. Modarres Sanavi and A. Soroushzadeh (2004). Effect of foliar application of zinc and manganese on quality traits of five safflower cultivars. Iranian J. Soil Water Sci., 18 (2): 214-218.

تأثير توقيت الرش الورقي بالمغذيات الصغرى النانومترية على المحصول ومساهمته في دوار الشمس

محمد ثروت سعيد^١ وهبه محمد نعمان^٢

^١ قسم المحاصيل ، كلية الزراعة ، جامعة أسيوط ، أسيوط ، جمهورية مصر العربية.
^٢ قسم بحوث المحاصيل الزيتية ، معهد بحوث المحاصيل الحقلية ، مركز البحوث الزراعية ، الجيزة ، جمهورية مصر العربية.

الملخص

تم اجراء تجريبه حقلية بمزرعة قسم المحاصيل - كلية الزراعة - جامعة اسيوط خلال موسمي ٢٠١٩-٢٠٢٠ لتقييم تأثير توقيت الرش الورقي بالمغذيات الصغرى النانومترية على صنف سخا ٥٣- لمحصول دوار الشمس (*Helianthus annuus* L.) ، استخدم لذلك تصميم القطاعات كاملة العشوائية باستخدام ترتيب القطاعات المنشقة في ثلاث مكررات. حيث وزعت معاملات الرش الورقي بالمغذيات الصغرى النانومترية على ثلاث اعمار. وأظهرت النتائج أن الرش الورقي مرتين بعد ٣٠ و ٥٠ يوم من الزراعة أدى إلى زيادة معنوية في ارتفاع النبات وقطر الساق وقطر الرأس في كلا الموسمين. كما سجلت أعلى قيم متوسطات لمحصول البذور (طن فدان^{-١}) ومحصول الزيت (كجم فدان^{-١}) عند الرش الورقي بالمغذيات الصغرى النانومترية على ثلاث مرات ٣٠ و ٥٠ و ٧٠ يوماً من الزراعة. بالإضافة الي ذلك اوضحت النتائج تفوق الرش الورقي بالحديد والمنجنيز والزنك في الصورة النانومترية على جميع المعاملات الأخرى في هذا الصدد مسجلا أعلى قيم متوسطات لجميع مساهمات المحصول المدروسة ومحصول البذور ومحصول الزيت في كلا الموسمين. كما أثر التفاعل بين عدد مرات الرش ونوع المغذيات الصغرى النانومترية تأثيراً معنوياً على جميع مكونات محصول دوار الشمس في موسمي النمو، حيث تم الحصول على أعلى متوسطات لقيم محصول البذور (٣,٤٧ و ٣,٥٥ طن فدان^{-١}) ومحصول الزيت (١٧٦٥,٨٨ و ١٧٧٠,٦٧ كجم فدان^{-١}) من التفاعل بين $T_3 \times S_7$ (الرش الورقي على ثلاث مرات بعد ٣٠، ٥٠، ٧٠ يوماً من الزراعة \times الرش بالحديد والمنجنيز والزنك في الصورة النانومترية). ويمكن استنتاج أن المعاملة بالرش الورقي على ثلاث مرات بعد ٣٠ و ٥٠ و ٧٠ يوماً من الزراعة باستخدام جزيئات الحديد والمنجنيز والزنك النانومترية تعتبر استراتيجية مناسبة لتحسين صفة محصول دوار الشمس ومساهماته للصنف سخا ٥٣.