

STUDYING EFFECT OF pH ON THE ANTIMYCOTIC PERFORMANCE OF SOME DISINFECTANTS BY USING QUANTITATIVE SUSPENSION TEST

Sotohy A.S. Mohamed

Dept. of Animal Hygiene, Faculty of Vet. Medicine, Assiut University

ABSTRACT :

The antimycotic effect of some disinfectants was comparatively studied under different pH gradients. Reference strains of *C.albicans, A.niger* and *T.mentagrophytes* were used in the current study. Quantitative suspension test was used with an initial inoculum of 1.0×10^7 CFU/mL from each organism. After different exposure time (1; 2; 5; 10; 15; 30 and 60 min), 1.0 mL was taken and added to 9.0 mL of the neutralizer to give the required concentration and left for 10 min before spreading of 0.1mL on Sabouraud Dextrose agar medium. The CFU/mL was recorded for each time point and the reduction of the viable count was recorded as \log_{10} of the count. The obtained results revealed that glutaraldehyde, formaldehyde, phenols and quaternary ammonium compounds are highly effective at alkaline pH. The acid pH gradient of these compounds either fails to give the required result or needs a longer time of exposure. On the other hand, sod. hypochlorite and standard phenol were showed high antimycotic efficiencies under acidic pH than alkaline ones. Using the alkalinizing and/or acidifying agents observed no direct toxic effect on the organism. This indicates that the pH may modify the disinfectant performance, which should be put in our mind during disinfection.

INTRODUCTION

There is no perfect disinfectant as each category has advantages and disadvantages. When a disinfectant is being evaluated, if it is not the exact formulation, their overall performance should not be accepted. Even if the active ingredients are similar in percentages in different formulations, it is unlikely that the rest of particular product contains exact chemical characteristics, pH, surfactant system and chelating agent, as the disinfectant it is being compared to (Bergan & Lystad, 1972).

When recommending any chemical agent as a disinfectant, it is essential to qualify conditions

under which it will be effective. These conditions for use are decided according to extensive *"in-vitro"* tests. These conditions defining the useful biological activity and determine the influence of other factors as pH, temperature, organic matter and in-use dilution on the activity and stability of the compound (Russell, 1974). The order of death of *E.coli* exposed to acid and alkaline glutaraldhyde was approximately exponential and the disinfectant efficiency of aqueous acid solution was considerably lower than activated alkaline solution (Munton & Russell, 1970). Sporicidal activity of alkaline glutaraldhyde was much higher than that of acidic glutaraldhyde (Borick *et al.*, 1964; Power & Russel, 1989 and Traore *et al.*, 2002). It was recorded that the reactivity of glutaraldhyde with the cells and spores is particularly affected by the use of sodium bicarbonate to alkalinize the product (Gorman & Scott, 1977 and Gorman & Scott, 1980). Although aldehydes and quaternary ammonium compounds are more effective at a high pH, chlorines, iodophores, and phenols are more effective at a low pH (Ladd, 1993).

The purpose of this study is to examine the effect of pH on the fungicidal effectiveness of the most common commercially available germicides.

MATERIAL AND METHODS:

Disinfectants:

The following products were tested:

1- Aldehyde:

a-Glutaraldhyde: (1,5-Pentaendiol, Fair Lawn, NJ, USA), 50% active matter, pH 2.8 (Unadjusted).

b-Formaldehyde: (Sigma-Aldrich, Gillinghom, Dorset, 36.5-38% aqueous solution), pH 7.0 (Unadjusted).

2- Phenolic compounds:

a-TEK-TROL: (26% Phenol, BIO-TEC Industries Inc, Atlanta, Georgia, USA), pH 8.5 (Unadjusted).

b-Standard phenols: (Saturated phenol, Sigma-Aldrich, Gillinghom, Dorset) **3-Chlorine compounds:** Sodium hypochlorite: (Javex 12 from Bristol-Mayer, Toronto, Ontario, Canada). About 10% w/v available chlorine; pH 12.1

4-Quaternary ammonium compounds: (from Decon Labs, Inc. Bryn Mawr, Pensilvania, USA). 0.105 alkyl dimethyl benzyl ammonium chlorides and 0.105% alkyl) dimethyl ethylbenzyl ammonium chloride. pH 9.5.

The pH was either alkalinized by 0.3% sodium bicarbonate to be 8.2-8.4 or acidified by 0.01% HcL to be 2.4-2.6.

Prior to testing all the disinfectant solutions were freshly prepared in sterile deionized water.

Test Organisms:

Three organisms were used:

Candida albicans (SC5314), A. niger (ATCC 16888) and T. mantagrophytes (ATCC 24953). C.albicans was grown overnight in yeast nitrogen base (YNB) medium (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, Mich.) supplemented with 50 mM glucose. Fifty mililiters of medium (in 250-ml Erlenmeyer flasks) was inoculated with C.albicans from fresh Sabouraud dextrose agar plates (SDA, Difco) and incubated for 24 h at 37°C in an orbital water bath shaker at 60 rpm. Cells were harvested and washed twice with 0.15 M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; pH 7.2, ca⁺ and Mg⁺ -free). Cell pellet was resuspended in 10 ml of PBS, counted after serial dilution using hemacytometer, standardized (1.0x10⁷/mL), and used immediately. The number of cells in aliquots of each challenge suspension was confirmed bv quantitative culturing. A.niger and T.mantagrophytes were grown on SDA for up to 7 days at 25-30 °C. The stock inocula were prepared by flooding the culture With 10 ml of 0.85% sterile saline (0.05% Tween 80). The spores were removed by scraping, washed and counted as mentioned before.

Preparation of the test suspension:

The test suspension was prepared in 10 mL sterile distilled water containing appropriate disinfectant concentration. The fungal titer in the solution at zero time was 1x10⁷CFU/mL. Two sets of each disinfectant concentration were prepared, unadjusted pH and the second either acidified or alkalinized. The test was conducted at the room temperature and the fungicidal activity was evaluated by quantitative suspension test (Griffiths et al., 1999 and Fraud et al., 2001). After exposure time of 1, 2, 5, 10, 15, 30 and 60 min, 0.1 mL sample withdrawn and suspended in 0.9 mL of the appropriate neutralizer for 10 min in order to inactivate any residual disinfectant (Pepper, 1981, Hosnia-Swafy, 1999, and Traore et al., 2002). Serial dilutions were then made in sterile saline and 0.1 mL was directly spread out on SDA. The plates were incubated aerobically at 37 °C for 24 h (C.albicans) and 25-30°C for up to 7 days (A.niger and T. mentagrophytes).

The fungicidal effect (FE) expressed as log_{10} reduction factor for each exposure time. The FE= log_{10} NC- log_{10} ND in which NC and ND represent the CFU/mL in control and disinfectant, respectively.

Test for neutralizer:

Tests were performed to check relative efficiency of neutralizers on the disinfectant (Tuncan, 1993 and Traore *et al.*, 2002). A 1mL mixture of *C. albicans suspension* ($1.0x10^7/mL$), neutralizer and the disinfectant to give the required concentration was prepared. Moreover, in order to check whether each neutralizer has a direct toxic effect on the cells, 1 mL mixture of *C. albicans* suspension ($1.0x10^7/mL$) and neutralizer to give the required concentration was prepared. After 10 min, 0.1 mL of the originals as well as of the tenfold serially diluted was spread out on SDA. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h. The growing colonies were counted and CFU/mL was calculated accordingly. Percentage of inhibition was also calculated.

Effect of 0.3% sodium bicarbonate and HcL on *C.albicans* :

This test was conducted In order to exclude the direct effect of sod. bicarbonate or HcL on the organisms. Two sets of *C. albicans* (1.0 x10⁷ cfu/ml) were used, one was alkalinized by 0.3% Sod. bicarbonate and the other was acidified by 0.01% HcL. The CFU/ml was tested before as well as every 15 min by the pour plate method. **RESULTS:**

Effect of pH on antimycotic efficiency of disinfectants :

All fungal species used in the current study were sensitive to a high extent to the most disinfectants under test.

Data in table (3 & 6) indicated that, \log_{10} viable count of C.albicans was dropped 7 folds and no viable count could be detected after 10; 30; 60; 1; 60 and 1 min by using acidic glutaraldehyde, formaldehyde, standard phenol, Tek-Trol, quaternary ammonium compound and sod. hypochlorite, respectively. Moreover, the log₁₀ of *C.albicans* count was dropped 7 folds by alkalinized disinfectants after 2; 5; 30; 2; 10 15 and minutes by glutaraldehyde, formaldehyde, standard phenol, Tek-trol, quaternary ammonium compound and sod. hypochlorite, respectively.

It was revealed that A.*niger* and *T*. *mentagrophytes* exhibited a certain intrinsic resistance. Table (4 & 6) illustrated that A.*niger* was reduced 7 logs after 15; 2; 10; and 30 min by using alkaline formaldehyde; Tek-trol; quaternary ammonium compound and sod. hypochlorite, respectively. Alkaline glutaraldehyde and standard phenol failed to achieve this result. On the other hand, all acidified disinfectant failed to get 7 logs reduction in case of *A.niger* except Tek-trol and sod. hypochlorite.

Disinfectan	Noutrolizon					
Name	Concentration (%)	i veuti anzei				
Glutaraldehyde	0.125	0.3% glycine				
Formaldehyde	1.25	Dilution with buffered saline				
Standard phenol	1.25	0.1% Tween 80 in saline				
Tek-Trol	0.4	0.1% Tween 80 in saline				
Quaternary ammonium compound	0.005	Letheen broth				
Sod. Hypochlorite	0.005	0.5% Sod. thiosulfate				

Table (2): Efficiency of used neutralizers on the disinfectant and their toxicity on *C.albicans*.

	Efficiency						Toxicity							
	Log 10 c	ount				Log 10	count							
Neutralizer	Initial	After 10 min	Log ₁₀ Reduction	Inhibition %	Neutralization efficiency	Initial	After 10 min	Log ₁₀ Reduction	Inhibition %	Recovery %				
0.5% Sod thiosulfate	7	6.79	0.21	3.0	97.0	7	6.83	0.17	2.4	97.6				
0.3% Glycine	7	6.77	0.23	3.3	96.7	7	6.81	0.19	2.7	97.3				
0.1% Tween80	7	6.69	0.31	4.4	95.6	7	6.76	0.24	3.4	96.6				
Letheen broth	7	6.58	0.42	6.0	94.0	7	6.85	0.15	2.1	97.9				

Table (3): Efficiency disinfectant's pH on C.albicans.

Di	Mean log ₁₀ reduction at (min)								
Name	Concentration %	РН	1	2	5	10	15	30	60
Clutaraldahyda	0.125	4.2*	3.6	3.7	4.6	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
Giutaraiuenyue	0.125	8.4	4.6	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
		6.8*	0.8	0.8	0.9	1.4	3.4	5.0	6.2
Formaldehyde	1.25	8.4	0z.4	1.3	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
		2.4	0.4	1.2	2.1	2.1	4.2	7.0	7.0
	1.25	6.8*	2.7	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
Standard phenol		8.4	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4	2.6	7.0	7.0
_		2.4	0.1	0.3	1.1	1.1	3.0	5.2	7.0
Tal: Tual	0.4%	9.8*	4.7	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
1 ek- 1 roi		2.4	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
040	0.005	10.5*	4.2	4.9	6.1	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
QAU	0.005	2.4	0.4	0.9	1.0	1.0	3.7	4.2	7.0
	0.005	10.2*	0.3	3.6	4.7	5.1	7.0	7.0	7.0
Sou. nypochiorite	0.005	2.4	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0

* unadjusted pH.

Table (4): Efficiency of disinfectant's pH on A.niger.

Disinfectant			Mean log ₁₀ reduction at (min)						
Name	Concentration %	PH	1	2	5	10	15	30	60
Clutanaldahuda	0.125	4.2*	0.3	0.7	1.1	1.2	1.4	1.7	2.3
Giutaraidenyde	0.125	8.4	0.9	1.5	1.7	1.7	2.1	2.7	3.4
		6.8*	0.2	0.2	0.5	1.1	2.8	4.2	5.1
Formaldehyde	1.25	8.4	0.2	1.9	2.2	4.5	7.0	7.0	7.0
-		2.4	0.2	0.7	1.1	20.	2.6	4.1	4.8
		6.8*	4.0	5.0	6.3	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
Standard phenol	1.25	8.4	0.0	0.1	0.3	1.6	2.1	5.3	5.8
-		2.4	0.1	0.1	0.8	1.4	1.8	3.8	5.1
	0.40/	9.8*	4.7	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
lek-lrol	0.4%	2.4	3.5	4.1	4.6	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0

QAC	0.005	10.5*	4.2	4.9	6.1	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
		2.4	0.0	0.2	0.6	1.8	2.5	3.0	4.8
Sod. hypochlorite	0.005	10.2*	0.1	0.8	2.6	4.7	6.3	7.0	7.0
		2.4	4.3	5.6	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0

* unadjusted pH.

Dist (c), Entering of admitted at spir of American spiritum												
Ľ	Disinfectant				Mean log ₁₀ reduction at (min)							
Name	Concentration %	рН	1	2	5	10	15	30	60			
Clutaraldahyda	0.125	4.2*	0.5	1.1	1.3	1.5	2.1	2.4	2.9			
Giutaraidenyde	0.125	8.4	1.8	2.1	3.0	4.8	5.9	6.2	7.0			
	1.25	6.8*	0.3	0.5	0.7	1.2	2.9	4.6	5.4			
Formaldehyde	1.25	8.4	0.2	2.2	2.8	5.0	6.8	7.0	7.0			
		2.4	0.0	1.1	1.3	2.2	3.1	4.9	5.3			
		6.8*	0.9	3.8	4.9	5.8	7.0	7.0	7.0			
Standard phenol	1.25	8.4	0.1	0.9	1.1	2.6	2.9	4.2	6.7			
		2.4	0.1	0.7	1.0	1.8	2.3	3.6	5.4			
Tal: Tual	0.49/	9.8*	6.7	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0			
1 ek-1 roi	0.470	2.4	4.1	4.9	5.6	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0			
010	0.005	10.5*	2.8	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0			
QAC	0.005	2.4	0.0	0.1	0.7	1.3	3.2	4.0	5.3			
God have able with	0.005	10.2*	0.4	1.2	3.1	5.8	7.0	7.0	7.0			
Sou. nypochiorite	0.005	2.4	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0			

Table (5): Efficiency of disinfectant's pH on T.mentagrophytes.

* unadjusted pH.

Table (6): Exposure time required for each disinfectant to achieve 7 log₁₀ reduction factor.

Disinfostant	DH	Organism							
Disinfectant	rn	C. albicans	A. niger	T. mentagrophytes					
Clastereddebade	Alkaline	2	NA	NA					
Glutaraldellyde	Acidic	10	NA	NA					
	Neutral	NA	NA	NA					
Formaldehyde	Alkaline	5	15	30					
	Acidic	30	NA	NA					
	Neutral	2	15	15					
Standard phenol	Alkaline	30	NA	NA					
	Acidic	30	NA	NA					
Taly Tual	Alkaline	1	2	2					
Tek-Troi	Acidic	1	10	10					
Quaternary	Alkaline	5	10	2					
ammonium compound	Acidic	ŇĂ	NA	NA					
Sad hymaahlarita	Alkaline	15	30	15					
Sod. hypochlorite	Acidic	1	5	1					

NA, not achieved

Table (7): Effect of alkalinizing and acidifying agent on C. albicans.

	Initial	Mean log ₁₀ count at (min)							
Treatment	count (log ₁₀)	1.0	Inhibition %	15	Inhibition %	30	Inhibition %	60	Inhibition %
0.3% Sod. bicarbonate	7	6.92	1.1	6.91	1.3	6.87	1.9	6.80	2.9
0.001% HcL	7	6.86	2.0	6.82	2.6	6.78	1.6	6.72	1.0
Control	7	6.90	1.8	6.91	1.3	6.89	1.6	6.91	1.3

Data in tables (5 & 6) showed that the viable count of T.mentagrophytes was dropped 7 logs after 10 and 1 min by using acidic Tek-trol and sod. hypochlorite, respectively. On the other hand, the viable count of T.mentagrophytes was dropped 7 folds by alkaline glutaraldehyde, formaldehyde, Tek-trol, quaternary ammonium compound, and sod. hypochrite after 60; 30; 2; 2, and 15 min,

Data in tables (3; 4; 5, and 6) revealed that, alkalinized glutaraldehyde, formaldehyde, tektrol, and quaternary ammonium compounds are highly effective than their acidified products. The time of exposure required to reduce the viable count of C.albicans was shorter than that of acidified ones to drop the count 7 folds (table 3 & 6). The performance of the disinfectant against A.niger and T.mentagrophytes were more or less the same except that these two organisms show some intrinsic resistance. Although acidified formaldehvde and quaternary ammonium compounds failed to reduce the viable count of A.niger and T.mentagrophytes 7 folds, alkalinized products achieve this effect. The viable count of A.niger dropped 7 logs after 15 and 10 min by using formaldehyde and quaternary ammonium compounds, respectively while T.mentagrophytes required 30 and 2 min, respectively.

Alkalinized products of most disinfectants under study were more efficient than acidified ones. Moreover, unadjusted standard phenol pH (neutral) was highly effective than alkalinized and/or acidified phenol. Table (6) revealed that C.albicans count dropped 7 logs after 2; 30, and 30 min by using neutral, alkalinized and acidified phenol, respectively. On the other hand, acidified Tek-trol and sod. hypochlorite was highly efficient than the alkalinized ones. Viable count of C.albicans, A.niger and T.mantagrophytes dropped 7 logs by using alkalinized sod. hypochlorite after 15; 30 and 15 min, respectively. On acidifying the product, the same effect was achieved after 1;5, 1 min, respectively.

Efficiencies and toxicity of the neutralizers :

Concerning neutralizers used, their efficiencies in neutralizing disinfectant were so high and in the same time they have no direct toxicity on the *C.albicans*. The viable count of

C.albicans was normally fluctuated after 10 min exposure to neutralizers as well as in the disinfectant-neutralizer mixtures (table 2). It was recorded that the compounds used were efficient in neutralizing the disinfectants. The neutralization efficiencies were ranged from 94-97%. On the other hand, their was no direct effect on *C.albicans*. After 10 min, *C.albicans* shown a growth ranged from 96.6-97.9% of the initial count.

Effect of alkalinizing and acidifying agents:

Table (6) revealed that the viable count of *C.albicans* was fluctuated in the normal range. There was no nsignificant effect of the *C.albicans* cells through out one hour experiment. Under the effect of 0.3% sod bicarbonate, the count was dropped up to 2.9% while it was dropped up to 4% by 0.01% HcL comparing to 1.3% drop in the count of the control experiment.

DISCUSSION:

Disinfectant products were selected to represent the main categories of disinfectants used in veterinary field. The biological activity of each chemical compound is greatly influenced by some factors. Extensive tests are then required to define these conditions if the optimum performance is required.

The term high-level disinfectant is usually used for disinfectants that produce $5 \log_{10}$ reduction in bacterial numbers within 5 min exposure (European standard suspension test, 1997). This criterion is the minimum requirements for passing such a quantitative suspension test. Moreover, Hernandez *et al.*(2000) mentioned that the product be a disinfectant when $a \ge 4$ fold reduction on the initial inoculums. All disinfectants were used at a low concentration in order to compare their activity with Tek-Trol, which used at its recommended concentration (0.4%) by the manufacturer. However, the concentration used for each compound found to be effective on *C. albicans* during the initial study.

Aqueous solution of glutaraldehyde is mildly acidic (~4.2) while Tek-Trol, quaternary ammonium compound and Sod. hypochlorite is alkaline (pH 9.7-10.4). Formaldehyde and standard phenol solutions are more or less neutral pH. The acidic solutions were alkalinized with 0.3% sod. bicarbonate (Gorman & Scott, 1980) and/or acidified by 0.01% HCL. The results that recorded in this confirm that aldehydes, study tek-trol, quaternary ammonium compounds are highly fungicidal at alkaline pH range. Similar results were published else where indicating that alkaline glutaraldehyde is highly effective as a disinfectant than acidic one (Stonehill et al., 1963; Gorman & Scott, 1980; Fraud et al., 2001; Traore et al., 2002). McGucken & Woodside (1973) found that a 2x10⁸ cells/ml of *E.coli* was completely killed in 10 min by 100 µg/mL of alkaline glutaraldehyde compared to a 45% kill produced by the acid solution. The predominant factors governing activity of the aldehydes are, the distance between aldehyde groups as well as tendency of aldehyde to polymerize allowing free aldehyde groups to interact with amino groups of the organism cells (Boucher et al., 1973). This statement essentially agrees with findings of Rubbo et al. (1967) as the antibacterial activity is due to free aldehyde groups present in the molecule. Alkalinizing of glutaraldehyde, increases the free aldehyde groups available to be up taken by the organism. Gorman & Scott (1977) found that E.coli was usually uptake more alkaline glutaraldehyde than acidic one at any particular concentration attributing this to development of fresh sites on the organism walls due to further penetration of the aldehyde and bicarbonate. Munton & Russel (1973) found that acid glutaraldehyde does not react immediately with the outer cell layer or to the same overall extent as an alkaline solution. This may explain the long time required by acidic glutaladehyde or formaldehyde to give the same effect of alkaline glutaraldehyde (Tables 3-6). Walsh et al. (1999) and Simon et al. (2000) stated that glutraldehyde interact strongly with the organism cells by reacting with the primary amines present in the peptide chain. They found that the reactive amine is NH₂ and not NH₃ and this explains the higher efficiency of alkaline aldehyde where the amines are in the NH₂.

The effect of alkalinizing is crucial in terms of fungicidal properties of formaldehyde also. It was recorded that 1.25% acidic pH was able to reduce *C.albicans* 7 logs in 30 min (table 3) comparing to 5 min in case of alkaline one (Table 3). Moreover, acidic formaldehyde fails to reduce *A.niger* and *T.mentagrophytes* count to the minimum requirements through out the experiment. On the other hand, alkaline formaldehyde reduced *A.niger* 7log_s in 15 and 30 min, respectively (table 4; 5 and 6). These results are different from those recorded by Rubbo *et al.* (1967) who found that the biocidal activity of formaldehyde was not greatly modified by changes in pH.

Data recorded in tables (3-6) revealed that acidic sod. hypochlorite is more effective against all organisms under test than alkalinized compound. *C.albicans*, *A.niger* and *T.mentagrophytes* were completely destroyed after 1; 5, and 1 min exposure by the acidified sod. hypochlorite while alkaline compound achieved this effect after longer time of exposure. These results are in agree with that reported by Ladd (1993).

revealed that 0.3% Table (6) sod. bicarbonate and/or 0.01% HCL has no germicidal effect and the count of C.albicans was fluctuating within the normal range through out one hour test. Power & Russel (1990) found that addition of 0.3% (w/v) NaHCO₃ to other aldehydes did not affect the antimycotic action indicating that antimycotic character of alkaline glutaraldehyde did not due to the simple pH effect. Moreover, the same authors found that addition of NaOH increases the sporocidal activity of 2% acid glutaradehyde not to the same extent as addition of NaHCO3, suggesting that enhancing effect is different from one alkalinizing agent from other indicating that it is not simply due to pH. Sod. bicarbonate may sensitize the outer layer of the organisms to be easily penetrated by the disinfectant. Moreover, NaHCO3 may cause some alteration in the outer layers aiding interaction and/or penetration of disinfectant with potential substrates as proteins, enzymes and peptido-glycan (Power & Russel, 1990). Vasseur et al. (1999) found that decreasing the medium pH to 5.8, 5.6 or 5.4 by addition of acetic, lactic or hydrochloric acids increased lag phase and decreased the growth rate of L. monocytogenes. The inhibitory effect was acetic acid> lactic acid> hydrochloric acid. However, addition of NaOH to attain pH values of 9.5, 10.0, 10.5 or 11.0 in the medium produced a dramatic increase of the lag phase at pH 10.5 and 11.0. Growth rates were also decreased while the maximal population increased with high pH values. Under acidic condition (pH 4.5-5.0), L. monocytogenes showed resistance to niasin and NaCl while niasin was more effective at pH ranging from 5.7-9.2. This indicates that the pH itself has no harmful effect on the organism (Thomas and Wimpenny, 1996).

Concerning the efficiency and toxicity of used neutralizers, table (2) revealed that, the

viable count of C.albicans was fluctuated within the normal range under effect of these disinfectant-neutralizer mixtures. Reduction of the viable count was ranged from 0.21-0.42 folds with an inhibition percentages of 3-6%. On the other hand, there was no direct toxicity of the neutralizers on the cells. *C.albicans* count was just dropped by 2.1-3.4% indicating that these neutralizers were perfect (table 2). The obtained results are more or less coincided with those recorded by (Winer *et al.*, 1965; Russel *et al.*, 1979; Russel *et al.*, 1981; Gardner & Peeel, 1986; Linton *et al.*, 1987 and Hosnia-Swaify, 2000).

The results indicated that some disinfectants (aldehydes, Tek-trol, and quaternary ammonium compounds are highly effective as an antimycotic under alkaline pH. Moreover, sod.hypochlorite is highly effective under acidic pH range than alkaline one. From these data, one can safely concluded that pH plays a great role in the disinfectant's performance, which should be put in mind if maximum efficiencies are required.

REFERENCES:

Bergan, T. and Lystad, A. (1972): Evaluation of disinfectant inactivators, Acta patho. Microbiol. Scand. Section B. 80: 507-510.

- Borick, P.M.; Dondershine, F.H. and Chandler, J.L. (1964): Alkalinized glutaraldehyde, a new antimicrobial agent.. J. pharmaceutical Sci., 53: 1273-1275.
- Boucher, R.M.; Last, A.J. and Smith, G.K.
 - (1973): Biochemical mechanisms of saturated dialdehydes and their potentiation by ultrasound. Proc. Of the Western Phamacological Society, 16: 282-288.
- European Standard chemical disinfectants. EN 1276: Quantitative suspension test for the evaluation of bactericidal activity of chemical disinfectants and antiseptics in

food industrial domestic and institutional areas,1997, London; BSI.

- Fraud, S.; Millard, J.Y. and Russel, A.D. (2001): Comparison of the mycobactericidal activity of ortho-phthalaldehyde, glutaraldehyde and other dialdehyde by a quantitative suspension test. J. Hosp. Infec., 48: 214-221.
- Gardner, J.F. and Peel, M.M. (1986): Introduction to steralization and preservation. Churchill Livingstone, Edinburgh.
- Gorman, S.P. and Scott, E.M. (1977): A quantitative evaluation of the antifungal properties of glutaraldehyde. J. Appl. Bact., 43: 83-89.
- Gorman, S.P. and Scott, E.M. (1980): A review: Antimicrobial activity, uses and Mechanism of action of glutaraldehyde. J. Appl. Bact., 48: 161-190.
- Griffith, P.A.; Babb, J.R. and Fraise, A.P. (1999): Mycobactericidal activity of selected disinfectants using a quantitative suspension test. J. Hosp. Infec., 41: 111-121.
- Hernandez, A.; Martro, E.; Matas, L.; Martin, M. and Ausina, V. (2000): Assessment of in-vitro efficacy of 1% Viroken against bacteria, fungi, viruses and spores by means of AFNOR guidelines. J. Hosp. Inf., 46: 203-209.
- Hosnia-Swafy (1999): Studies on the effectiveness of selective disinfectants for disinfection of poultry houses with special reference to aerosol disinfection. M.V.Sc., Fac. Of Vet. Medicine, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt.
- Ladd, M.G. (1993): The use of disinfectants in the swine industry. Healthy Hogs, pp. 128-143.
- Linton, A.H.; Hugo, W.B. and Russel, N.D. (1987): Disinfection in Vet. And farm animal practice. Black Well Scientific publication.

- McGucken, P.V. and Woodside, W. (1973): Studies on the mode of action of glutaraldehyde on E.coli. J. Appl. Bact. 36: 419-426.
- Munton, T.J. and Russel, A.D. (1970): Aspects of the action of glutaraldehyde on E.coli. J. Appl. Bact., 33: 410-419.
- Munton, T.J. and Russel, A.D. (1973): Interaction of glutaraldehyde with spheroplasts of E.coli. J. app. Bact., 36: 211-217.
- Pepper,R.E. (1981):Comparison of the activities and stabilities of alkaline glutaraldehyde sterilizing solutions. Infection Control, 1:90:92.
- Power, G.M. and Russel, A.D. (1989): Glutaraldehye: its uptake by sporing and non-sporing bacteria, rubber, plastic and endoscope. J. Appl. Bac., 67: 329-342.
- Power, G.M. and Russel, A.D. (1990): Sporicidal of alkaline glutaraldehyde: factors influencing activity and a comparison with other aldehyde. J. Appl. Bac., 69: 261-268.
- Rubbo, S.D.; Gardner, J.F. and Webb, R.L. (1967): Biocidal activities of glutaraldehyde and related compounds. J. appl. Bact., 30: 78-87.
- Russel, A.D. (1974): Factors influencing the activity of antimicrobial agents; an appraisal. Microbios, 10: 151-174.
- Russel, A.D. (1981): Neutralization procedures in the evaluation of bactericidal activity.
 In: Disinfectants: Ttheir uses and evaluation of effectiveness (Eds), Collins, C.H.; Allwood, M.C.; Bloomfield, S.F. and Fox, A., pp. 45-59, Academic press, London.
- Russel, A.D.; Ahonkai, I. And Bogers, D.T. (1979): A revew: Microbiological applications of the inactivation of antibiotics and other antimycotic agents. J. appl. Bac. 46: 207-245.
- Simons, C.; Walsh, S.E.; Maillard, J.Y. and Russel, A.D. (2000): A note: ortho-

Ass. Univ. Bull. Environ. Res. Vol. 7 No. 1, March 2004

phthalaldehyde: proposed mechanism of action of a new antimycotic agent. Lett. Appl. Microbiol., 31: 299-302.

- Stonehill, A.A.; Krop, S. and Borick, P.M. (1963): Buffered glutaraldehyde, a new chemical sterilizing solution. Am. J. Hosp. Pharmacy, 20: 458-465.
- Thomas, L.V. and Wimpenny, J.W.T. (1996): Appl. And Environ. Microbiol., 62 (6): 2006-2012
- Traore, O.; Springthorpe, V.S. and Sattar, S.A. (2002): Testing chemical germicides against Candida species using quantitative carrier and fingerpad methods. J. Hosp. Infec., 50: 66-75.
- Tuncan, E.U. (1993): Effect of cold temperature on germicidal efficacy on quaternary ammonium compound, iodophore and

chlorine on Listeria. J. Food Protection, 56 (12): 1029-1033.

- Vasseur, C.; Baverel, M.; Herbaud, M. and Labadie, J. (1999): Effect of osmotic, alkaline, acid or thermal stresses on the growth and inhibition of Listeria monocytogenes. J. Appl. Microbiol., 86 (3): 469-476.
- Walsh, S.E.; Maillard, J.Y.; Simons, C.; and Russel, A.D. (1999): Studies on the mechanisms of the antibacterial action of ortho-phthalaldehyde. J. Appl. Microbiol., 87: 702-710.
- Weiner, N.D.; Hart, F., and Zografi, G. (1965): Application of the Ferguson principle to the antimycotic activity of quaternary ammonium salts. J. Pharm. Pharmacol., 17: 350-355.

استخدام اختبار المعلق الكمي في دراسة تأثير الأس الهيدروجيني علي كفاءه المطهرات

قسم الصحة- كلية الطب البيطري – جامعة أسيوط

في هذا البحث تم دراسة تأثير عدد من المطهرات واسعة الانتشار في الحقل البيطري علي ميكروبات كانديدا البيكانز والأسبرجيلس نيجر وكذلك الترايكوفايتون منتاجروفايتس لمعرفة اقل تركيز كافي لقتل هذه الميكرويات عند أوقات مختلفة تراوحت من دقيقه واحدة وحتى ٢٠ دقيقه ٢٠ تم تغيير الأس الهيدروجيني لهذه المركبات للقاعدي باستخدام ٣٠, ٣ بيكريونات الصوديوم وكذلك للحمضي باستخدام ٢٠, ٣ حمض الهيدروكلوريك ٣ ثم اختبرت هذه المركبات عند نفس التركيز علي نفس الميكرويات السابق ذكرها ٥ قورنت النتائج عند درجات الأسس الهيدروجينية المختلفة. أثبتت النتائج أن الأس الهدروجيني يلعب دورا هاما في كفاءه المطهرات التي استخدمت في هذا البحث ٥ في هذا الإطار ثبت أن مركبات الجلوترالديهات ومركبات الأمونيا الرباعية ومركب أل المتخدمت في أعلي كفاءه عند الأس الهيدروجيني القاعدي وأن تغيير الأس الهيدروجيني لهذه المركبات إلى الحمضي فشل في أعلي كفاءه عند الأس الهيدروجيني القاعدي وأن تغيير الأس الهيدروجيني لهذه المركبات إلى الحمضي فشل في من هذه المركبات عند من المهاروجيني القاعدي وأن تغيير الأس الهيدروجيني لهذه المركبات إلى الحمضي فشل في من هذه المركبات عند الأس الهيدروجيني القاعدي وأن تغيير الأس الهيدروجيني لهذه المركبات إلى الحمضي فشل في من هذه المركبات عندما تكون قاعدية، ومن ناحية أطول للحصول علي نفس النتائج التي تم الحصول عليها من هذه المركبات عندما تكون قاعدية، ومن ناحية أخري فان مركب هيبوكلوريدات الصوديوم كانت أعلي كفاءه عند شقها الماكريات عندما تكون قاعدية، ومن ناحية أخري فان مركب هيبوكلوريدات الصوديوم كانت أعلي كفاءه عند من هذه المركبات عندما تكون قاعدية، ومن ناحية أخري فان مركب هيبوكلوريدات الصوديوم كانت أعلي كفاءه عند من هذه المركبات عندما تكون قاعدية، ومن ناحية أخري فان مركب هيبوكلوريدات الصوديوم كانت أعلي كفاءه عند شقها الحامضي. بالرغم من أن الشق القاعدي لمركبات الفورمادهيد والفينول كانت أعلي من الشق الحمضي لها لكنها كانت اعلي كفاءه من أن الشق القاعدي لمركبات الفورمادهيد والفينول كانت أعلي من الشق الحمضي لها الاعتبار عند استخدام هذه المطهرات وصولا لأعلي كفاءه تطهيريه.