

The Egyptian Journal of Otolaryngology

Vocal Dysfunction Following Thyroid Surgery: A Multidimensional Subjective and Objective Study --Manuscript Draft--

| | |
|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Manuscript Number: | TEJO-D-24-00253R1 |
| Full Title: | Vocal Dysfunction Following Thyroid Surgery: A Multidimensional Subjective and Objective Study |
| Article Type: | Original Article |
| Funding Information: | |
| Abstract: | <p>Background and objective: Following thyroid surgery, vocal changes are a common complication and well-known morbidity that may be linked to neuronal and non-neuronal voice breakdown. Nevertheless, their effects on different voice characteristics are not fully understood, and their bases are still poorly characterized. In order to determine the diagnostic indicators that address the nature of such post-thyroidectomy voice alternations, this study was designed to provide a multidimensional assessment of vocal function after thyroid surgery.</p> <p>Methods: This research was a one year prospective cohort study conducted on 100 adult patients aged 40.19 (\pm12.82) years who were recruited from the outpatient clinic of Phoniatric Unit, Assiut University Hospital and scheduled to undergoing thyroid surgery during the period from November 2020 to November 2021. All subjects underwent vocal assessment preoperatively, 15-days, 1 month and 2 months postoperatively by filled in subjective evaluation of voice complaints via voice handicap index (VHI-30), auditory perceptual assessment (APA) of the voice, videolaryngoscopy in addition to acoustic analysis using computerized speech lab (CSL). Statistical analysis was performed to comparing multi-parameters voice assessment tools across different assessment time points.</p> <p>Results: The voice changes were significantly decreased from 51.0% after 15 days postoperatively to 33.0% after 2 months of follow up. Among these cases, 35.0% cases developed vocal fold paralysis and complained of a breathy voice (27% developed unilateral vocal fold paralysis, and 8% developed bilateral focal fold lesions) and the remaining 16.0% cases had no paralytic manifestations. Also, only one case developed gross lesion "bilateral vocal fold nodules". The subjective evaluation of voice outcome after thyroidectomy showed significant improvement in VHI subscales and total score from 15 days postoperatively to two months of follow up ($P < 0.001$). All of the acoustic parameters except HNR showed a significant difference across the different assessment settings ($P < 0.001$).</p> <p>Conclusion: Thyroidectomy can result in significant vocal alterations, even in cases where the laryngeal nerve is unharmed. These changes should be taken into consideration in patient having thyroid surgery, especially a total thyroidectomy because of malignant lesions. More efforts are needed in order to determine the extent and pathophysiological reasons for the vocal alterations following thyroid surgery in order to reduce the morbidity associated with one of the most popular surgical procedures performed globally.</p> <p>Keywords: Thyroid surgery, vocal dysfunctions, voice handicap index, videolaryngoscopy, acoustic analysis</p> |
| Corresponding Author: | aya essam elshrkawy, M.D Assiut University Faculty of Medicine ASSIUT, EGYPT |
| Corresponding Author E-Mail: | drayaelsharkawy93@aun.edu.eg |
| Corresponding Author Secondary Information: | |
| Corresponding Author's Institution: | Assiut University Faculty of Medicine |
| Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution: | |

| | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| First Author: | Essam Eldin mohamed Aref, MBBCh, MSc, MD |
| First Author Secondary Information: | |
| Order of Authors: | Essam Eldin mohamed Aref, MBBCh, MSc, MD |
| | Gamal Abdelhamed Ahmed, MBBCh, MSc, MD |
| | Reham Abdelwakil Ibrahim, assistant professor |
| | Aya Essam Elshrkawy, MBBCh, MSc |
| Order of Authors Secondary Information: | |
| Response to Reviewers: | <p>Reviewer #1: Thank you for your kind review and your nice encouraging words</p> <p>Reviewer #2: +Methods: Page 2, Line 45: scheduled to undergoing... better to "scheduled to undergo.." DONE</p> <p>+Discussion: Page 8, Line 49: there is an extra "t" in "diagnosed in 35 cases at t 1st postoperative and remained..." Removed</p> <p>Page 9, line 23: " This finding could be contributed to the fact that our studied cases were enrolled from the Assiut University Hospital which is a tertiary health care educational hospital, thus the lack of enough experience among the surgeons that participate in the current study could explain the high frequency of nerve injury among our studied cases".... I would suggest a rephrase of this paragraph as any surgeon should not operate until he is experienced enough to do the surgery!! Rephrased, YES Sir, you are right, It is a critical surgery and no surgeon unless he has enough experience should operate thyroid surgery.</p> |
| Additional Information: | |
| Question | Response |
| Is this study a clinical trial?<p><i>A clinical trial is defined by the World Health Organisation as 'any research study that prospectively assigns human participants or groups of humans to one or more health-related interventions to evaluate the effects on health outcomes'.</i></p> | No |

Vocal Dysfunction Following Thyroid Surgery: A Multidimensional Subjective and Objective Study

Essam Eldin Mohamed Aref¹, Gamal Abd El-Hamed Ahmed², Reham AbdEl-Wakil Ibrahim¹, Aya Essam Shrkawy¹,

¹Phoniatic unit and ENT Department, Faculty of Medicine, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt.

²Department of general surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt.

Corresponding Author:

Aya Essam Shrkawy

Phoniatic Unit, ENT department, Faculty of Medicine, Assiut, Egypt.

Address: Egypt, Assiut University, Faculty of Medicine, Assiut, Egypt.

Telephone Number: +201008137079

E-mail Address: drayaesharkawy93@aun.edu.eg

Contributing Authors:

essamaref@med.aun.edu.eg

dr.reham.ibrahim@gmail.com

eed@aun.edu.eg

Declarations:

Ethics approval and consent to participate:

The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board, Faculty of Medicine, Assiut University (approval number: 17101030). Our study met all criteria established by the Helsinki Declaration.

Consent for publication: Not applicable

Availability of data and material: Not applicable

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding: No financial support was received for this study.

Authors' contributions: EA interpreted all data of patients and performed revision of all sections of the research as well as writing and preparing the manuscript for publication. AS collected the data of all patients with or without voice complain after thyroidectomy including history, clinical examination, auditory perceptual assessment, VHI and videolaryngoscopy. RA analyzed and interpreted the data regarding the auditory perceptual assessment, VHI and videolaryngoscopy. GA supervised the surgical issues of the patients and revised the writing of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements: Not applicable

[Click here to view linked References](#)

Vocal Dysfunction Following Thyroid Surgery: A Multidimensional Subjective and Objective Study

ABSTRACT

Background and objective: Following thyroid surgery, vocal changes are a common complication and well-known morbidity that may be linked to neuronal and non-neuronal voice breakdown. Nevertheless, their effects on different voice characteristics are not fully understood, and their bases are still poorly characterized. In order to determine the diagnostic indicators that address the nature of such post-thyroidectomy voice alternations, this study was designed to provide a multidimensional assessment of vocal function after thyroid surgery.

Methods: This research was a one year prospective cohort study conducted on 100 adult patients aged 40.19 (± 12.82) years who were recruited from the outpatient clinic of Phoniatric Unit, Assiut University Hospital and scheduled to undergoing thyroid surgery during the period from November 2020 to November 2021. All subjects underwent vocal assessment preoperatively, 15-days, 1 month and 2 months postoperatively by filled in subjective evaluation of voice complaints via voice handicap index (VHI-30), auditory perceptual assessment (APA) of the voice, videolaryngoscopy in addition to acoustic analysis using computerized speech lab (CSL). Statistical analysis was performed to comparing multi-parameters voice assessment tools across different assessment time points.

Results: The voice changes were significantly decreased from 51.0% after 15 days postoperatively to 33.0% after 2 months of follow up. Among these cases, 35.0% cases developed vocal fold paralysis and complained of a breathy voice (27% developed unilateral vocal fold paralysis, and 8% developed bilateral focal fold lesions) and the remaining 16.0% cases had no paralytic manifestations. Also, only one case developed gross lesion "bilateral vocal fold nodules". The subjective evaluation of voice outcome after thyroidectomy showed significant improvement in VHI subscales and total score from 15 days postoperatively to two months of follow up ($P < 0.001$). All of the acoustic parameters except HNR showed a significant difference across the different assessment settings ($P < 0.001$).

Conclusion: Thyroidectomy can result in significant vocal alterations, even in cases where the laryngeal nerve is unharmed. These changes should be taken into consideration in patient having thyroid surgery, especially a total thyroidectomy because of malignant lesions. More efforts are needed in order to determine the extent and pathophysiological reasons for the vocal alterations following thyroid surgery in order to reduce the morbidity associated with one of the most popular surgical procedures performed globally.

Keywords: Thyroid surgery, vocal dysfunctions, voice handicap index, videolaryngoscopy, acoustic analysis

Background:

After thyroid surgery, voice alterations are a typical symptom that may or may not be caused by laryngeal nerve injury [1]. However, very few published studies highlighted the effects of thyroidectomy and other associated parameters, such as patient age, sex, operation type, surgeon experience, laryngeal nerve injury, and orotracheal intubation on postoperative patients' voices.

The incidence of problems following thyroid surgery is variable and diverse. Hypocalcemia and airway problems are the most frequent postoperative side effects after thyroidectomy. These are potentially fatal and significantly lower quality of life. Postoperative hematoma, paralysis of the vocal folds, laryngeal oedema, and

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

tracheomalacia can all cause airway difficulties [2]. Recurrent laryngeal nerve palsy (RLNP) is a rare yet severe post thyroidectomy side effect [3]. When a recurrent laryngeal nerve (RLN) is damaged, the only vocal fold abductor muscle (posterior cricoarytenoid) is paralyzed. This can result in symptoms ranging from hoarseness to stridor and acute airway obstruction [3, 4].

Changes in voice can have other causes besides laryngeal nerve damage. Other potential reasons include damage to the cricothyroid and prethyroid strap muscles, as well as impaired laryngotracheal mobility brought on by wound contracture following soft tissue surgery. A careful surgical approach may avoid these issues, although it is still unclear if voice changes can happen following thyroidectomy without laryngeal nerve damage. Among the several reasons of post-thyroidectomy dysphonia include, surgical cervical muscle stretching and stabilization, endotracheal intubation, manipulationlaryngeal nerve injuries, and cricothyroid muscle injuries. Even in the absence of laryngeal nerve injury, functional dysphonia can occur [5]. Maeda et al. assessed 110 patients' voices following total thyroidectomies without causing nerve damage. They discovered that patients who underwent more extensive surgical manipulation had higher levels of jitter, shimmer, and harmonic to noise ratio, as well as decreased Maximum Phonation Time and fundamental frequency [6], Pedro Netto et al. assessed 100 patients following a partial or whole thyroidectomy and discovered functional dysphonia in 29.7% of those who did not have paralysis [7]. The determination of potential voice changes in individuals undergoing thyroidectomies without laryngeal nerve injury may be assisted by objective instrument voice evaluation “computerised acoustic analysis” [8].

According to Prabhat et al. (2018), voice alterations are typically temporary. In most situations, the voice recovery takes less than a month or up to six months. Voice changes that are temporary, including voice tiredness, weakening, or dysphonia, are more common and can occur in the majority of cases. The temporary alterations in voice are frequently caused by irritation of one or more nerves, either during the thyroid gland dissection by shifting them out of the way, or following thyroid surgery by inflammation or oedema [9].

This study is a multidimensional study aimed to evaluate voice dysfunction using different subjective and objective modalities after thyroid surgery in our community. This will aid in improving preoperative patient counseling and providing appropriate postoperative care for patients following thyroid surgery

Methods:

This research was a one year prospective cohort study conducted on 100 adult patients who recruited from the Phoniatic Unit at Assiut University Hospital and scheduled to undergo thyroid surgery during the period from November 2020 to November 2021. All subjects gave an informed consent before being included in the current research.

The patients in this study were adult patients with either benign or malignant thyroid diseases as indication of thyroidectomy, with normal voice, and having controlled thyroid hormone level whatever normal or under antithyroid drugs. Patients with any cardiac, hepatic, renal, and respiratory problem can interfere with surgery or general anesthesia, those with previous head and neck surgery, or those neurological deficits, also patients complain of any speech, voice or swallowing disorders due to any cause before surgery were excluded from the study.

All participants were subjected to the following protocol of voice assessment preoperatively and 15-day 1 month and 2 months postoperatively:

1. Patient interview:

All patients were subjected to history taking about their age, sex, residence, and education. Detailed analysis of complaint (duration, onset and course), phonesthetic manifestations related to voice complain which include: frequent throat clearing, soreness, tenderness, throat dryness, difficulty in swallowing sticky throat mucous (globus). In addition searching for etiological factors such as repeated upper respiratory tract infection, excessive and faulty use of voice, allergic tendencies and chronic cough.

2. Full ENT and vocal tract examination: examination of ears, nasal cavity, oral cavity, pharynx and tonsil to exclude any cases that may cause voice or swallowing disorder.

3. Auditory perceptual assessment (APA) of the voice:

It is considered as a semi objective assessment of the participant's voice. All participants subjected to an APA of voice using a modified GRBAS scale (overall grade of dysphonia, strained, leaky, breathy, and irregular). Each domain was graded on a scale of 0–3; in which (0) normal voice, (1) mild, (2) moderate and (3) severe [10].

4. Voice handicap index (VHI)-30

Handicap index in its Arabic version "VHI" which is patient survey to detect functional, physical, emotional features of the handicap index caused by voice impairment. Scoring of each question was rated from 0 to 4 (0=never, 1=rare, 2=sometimes, 3=often, 4=always). Total score range from 0 to 120, the higher the score the greater degree of handicap is detected [11, 12].

5. Visual augmentation and documentation of the glottis:

Video laryngoscopic examination of the larynx using a rigid 90° laryngoscope (Explorant Gyrus, ACMI) and in uncooperative cases, a flexible fiberoptic laryngoscope (KARL STORZ) connected to monitor (STORZ tele pack X LED) and camera (telecam PAL).we examined general configuration of the larynx, presence or absence of vocal fold gross lesion and both vocal folds mobility in both direction .

6. Acoustic analysis of voice:

Acoustic analysis was carried out using computerized speech lab (CSL) Model 4300 Kay elemetric corporation New Jersey (USA) for measurement of:

- Fundamental frequency
- Perturbation of frequency (Jitter)
- Perturbation of amplitude (Shimmer)
- Harmonic to noise rated (HNR)

Patients were asked to phonate the sustained vowel "a" at a comfortable pitch and intensity level. The patient's voice was recorded using a microphone that was placed about 10 cm from their mouth. The signals were then sent to a computer database, where computer software calculated and analyzed the data. The CSL was calibrated according to the instructions in the instruction booklet before testing.

Statistical analysis:

SPSS (statistical package for the social sciences; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) version 22 was used for all statistics. When applicable, percentages (number of instances) and frequency distributions (percentages) were used to statistically describe the data along with medians (range) because the data were not normally distributed. For comparing quantitative data

in the same group overtime, Friedman test was used. Cochran Q test was used to compare the difference in distribution of frequencies in the same group overtime. P-value is always 2 tailed set significant at 0.05 level.

Results

Demographic and clinical characteristics of the studied patients:

The demographic and clinical data of the studied participants was summarized in **Table 1**. The mean age of the studied participants at time of surgery was 40.19 years, 84% were females and 16% were males. The most performed procedure was partial thyroidectomy in 65% of our sample, and the remaining patients underwent total thyroidectomy. The majority of patients (72.0%) had benign thyroid disease and the remaining patients had malignant disorders.

Preoperative voice profile:

Preoperatively, all participants had normal auditory perceptual assessment findings (overall grade of dysphonia and its characters) and normal videolaryngoscopic finding (vocal folds gross lesions and vocal folds mobility in both directions), and normal scoring of voice handicap index.

Table (1): Demographic and clinical characteristics of the studied patients

| Variables | N=100 | |
|--------------------------------|---------------|--------|
| Age (years) | | |
| • Mean ± SD | 40.19 ± 12.82 | |
| Sex, n (%) | | |
| • Male | 16 | (16.0) |
| • Female | 84 | (84.0) |
| Pathology, n (%) | | |
| • Malignant | 28 | (28.0) |
| • Benign | 72 | (72.0) |
| ▪ Goiter | 60 | (83.3) |
| ▪ Graves | 12 | (16.7) |
| Types of surgery, n (%) | | |
| • Total thyroidectomy | 35 | (35.0) |
| • Partial thyroidectomy | 65 | (65.0) |
| ▪ Right lobe | 40 | (61.5) |
| ▪ Left lobe | 25 | (38.5) |

Data are presented mean± SD, or number (percentage).

Comparing the voice assessment tools across different assessment settings:

1. Auditory perceptual assessment:

On comparing the overall grade of dysphonia of the study group preoperatively to different assessment sittings postoperatively, statistically significant difference was observed regarding overall grade of dysphonia as 51% developed dysphonia (42% grade 1, 5% grade 2, 4% grade 3) after 15 days and 1 month. After 2 months 33% were still suffering from dysphonia (25% grade 1, 5% grade 2 and 3% grade 3) as there was improvement in 18 cases (P<0.001).

On comparing character of dysphonia of the study group preoperatively to different assessment sittings postoperatively, another statistically significant difference was observed regarding the breathy character of dysphonia as 35% developed breathy character (26% grade 1, 5% grade 2, 4% grade 3) after 15 days and 1 month. But after 2 months

only 33% was suffering from breathy voice (25% grade 1, 5% grade 2, 3% grade 3) as there was improvement in only two cases ($P<0.001$) (**Table 2**).

2. Voice handicap index findings:

On comparing the voice handicap index findings preoperatively to different assessment sittings postoperatively a statistically significant difference was observed in comparison to preoperative score as there was increase in the mean of total score of VHI from 0 to 9.17 after 15 days and 1 month. After 2 months the mean was 6.18 as there was improvement in some cases ($P<0.001$).

Regarding the emotional subscale there was a statistically significant difference as there was increase in the mean subscale score from 0 preoperative to 2.76 postoperatively in after 15 days and after 1 month assessment settings. After 2-months mean was 1.74 as there was improvement of some cases ($P<0.001$).

Regarding the physical subscale there was a statistically significant difference as there was increase in the mean subscale score from 0 preoperative to 2.78 postoperatively in after 15 days and after 1 month assessment settings. After 2-months mean was 1.99 as there was improvement of some cases ($P<0.001$).

Regarding the social subscale there was a statistically significant difference as there was increase in the mean subscale score from 0 preoperative to 3.61 postoperatively in after 15 days and after 1 month assessment settings. After 2-months mean was 2.45 as there was improvement of some cases ($P<0.001$).

On comparing the affection of the three subscales we noticed that the social domain was the most affected one followed by the physical one and the least affected was the emotional domain (**Table 2**).

3. Video-laryngoscopy findings:

On comparing the video-laryngoscopic findings of the study group preoperatively to different assessment sittings postoperatively regarding vocal fold paralysis and laryngeal pathology statistically significant difference was observed as 35% of patients developed vocal fold immobility (27% unilateral, 8% bilateral) after 15 days and 1 month. After 2 months only 33% was still suffering from vocal fold paralysis (26% unilateral lesion, 7% bilateral lesion) as there was improvement in only two cases ($P<0.001$).

On comparing the video-laryngoscopic findings of the study group preoperatively to different assessment sittings postoperatively regarding vocal fold gross lesion no statically significant difference was observed as only one case developed vocal fold gross lesion (bilateral vocal fold nodules) which appeared at first assessment sitting (15 days) and still present in the other two postoperative settings (1 month and 2 months). This in mostly unrelated to the operative procedure and the lesion appeared as this female patient was a voice abuser (**Table 2**), (**Figure 1**).

4. Acoustic analysis findings:

On comparing the findings of acoustic analysis of the study group preoperatively to different assessment sittings postoperatively, statistically significant difference was observed regarding F_0 (fundamental frequency) as there was significant decrease in mean F_0 values postoperatively after 15 days, 1 month, 2 months in comparison with the preoperative values ($P<0.001$).

Regarding jitter and shimmer, there was statistically significant difference was observed as there was significant increase in mean values of jitter and shimmer postoperatively after 15 days, 1 month, 2 months in comparison with the preoperative values ($P<0.001$).

On comparing the findings of CSL (computerized speech lab) of the study group preoperatively to different assessment sittings postoperatively regarding harmonic/noise ratio there was increase in mean HRN values postoperatively after 15 days, 1 month, but not after 2 months in comparison with preoperative values although not reaching the statistically significant point ($P>0.001$) (**Table 2**).

Table (2): Results of the voice assessment tools across different assessment settings

| Voice assessment tools | Preoperative | After 15 days | After 1 month | After 2 months | P value |
|---------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|
| Auditory perceptual assessment (APA) | | | | | <0.001 |
| • Normal | 100 (100.0) | 49 (49.0) | 49 (49.0) | 67 (67.0) | |
| • Overall grade of dysphonia | 0 (0.0) | 51 (51.0) | 51 (51.0) | 33 (33.0) | |
| ▪ Grade 1 | 0 (0.0) | 42 (42.0) | 42 (42.0) | 25 (25.0) | |
| ▪ Grade 2 | 0 (0.0) | 5 (5.0) | 5 (5.0) | 5 (5.0) | |
| ▪ Grade 3 | 0 (0.0) | 4 (4.0) | 4 (4.0) | 3 (3.0) | |
| Character | | | | | <0.001 |
| • Normal | 100 (100.0) | 65 (65.0) | 65 (65.0) | 67 (67.0) | |
| • Breathly | 0 (0.0) | 35 (35.0) | 35 (35.0) | 33 (33.0) | |
| ▪ Grade 1 | 0 (0.0) | 26 (26.0) | 26 (26.0) | 25 (25.0) | |
| ▪ Grade 2 | 0 (0.0) | 5 (5.0) | 5 (5.0) | 5 (5.0) | |
| ▪ Grade 3 | 0 (0.0) | 4 (4.0) | 4 (4.0) | 3 (3.0) | |
| Video-laryngoscopy | | | | | <0.001 |
| • Normal | 100 (100.0) | 65 (65.0) | 65 (65.0) | 67 (67.0) | |
| • VF paralysis | 0 (0.0) | 35 (35.0) | 35 (35.0) | 33 (33.0) | |
| ▪ Unilateral | 0 (0.0) | 27 (27.0) | 27 (27.0) | 26 (26.0) | |
| ▪ Bilateral | 0 (0.0) | 8 (8.0) | 8 (8.0) | 7 (7.0) | |
| Gross VF pathology | | | | | 1 |
| • No | 100 (100.0) | 99 (99.0) | 99 (99.0) | 99 (99.0) | |
| • Yes | 0 (0.0) | 1 (1.0) | 1 (1.0) | 1 (1.0) | |
| VHI | | | | | |
| • Emotional | 0.0 ± 0.0 | 2.76 ± 3.35 | 2.76 ± 3.35 | 1.74 ± 2.97 | <0.001 |
| • Physical | 0.0 ± 0.0 | 2.78 ± 3.48 | 2.78 ± 3.48 | 1.99 ± 3.49 | <0.001 |
| • Social | 0.0 ± 0.0 | 3.61 ± 4.53 | 3.61 ± 4.53 | 2.45 ± 4.06 | <0.001 |
| • Total | 0.0 ± 0.0 | 9.17 ± 10.17 | 9.17 ± 10.17 | 6.18 ± 9.74 | <0.001 |
| CSL | | | | | |
| • Pitch (FO) | 228.47 ± 33.11 | 202.05 ± 46.24 | 204.88 ± 46.11 | 206.26 ± 45.27 | <0.001 |
| • Jitter | 3.93 ± 1.44 | 3.95 ± 1.43 | 4.06 ± 1.44 | 4.05 ± 1.44 | <0.001 |
| • Shimmer | 4.47 ± 1.26 | 4.52 ± 1.26 | 4.66 ± 1.29 | 4.62 ± 1.27 | <0.001 |
| • HRN ratio | 5.55 ± 2.21 | 5.59 ± 2.10 | 5.61 ± 2.07 | 5.52 ± 2.10 | 0.580 |

APA: auditory perceptual assessment; VHI: Voice handicap index; CSL: computerized speech lab; HRN: harmonic/noise ratio. Data are presented mean ± SD, or number (percentage). Significant p value was considered when it is <0.05. Cochran Q test was used to compare the difference in distribution of frequencies in the same group overtime.




Figure 1 Vocal fold paralysis A) Unilateral, B) Bilateral

Clinical profile of patients with vocal fold immobility:

The demographic and clinical data of patients with vocal fold immobility were presented in **Table 3**. Their age ranging from 20 to 73, 30 (85.7%) of patients were females and 5 (14.3%) were males. The most performed procedure was total thyroidectomy in 30 patients (85.7%), the remaining underwent partial thyroidectomy. The majority of patients (71.4%) had malignant thyroid disease and the remaining had a benign lesions.

Table 3 Clinical profile of patients with focal fold immobility (n=35)

| Variables | N=35 | |
|------------------------------------------|---------|--------|
| Age (years) | | |
| • Range | 20 - 73 | |
| Sex, n (%) | | |
| • Male | 5 | (14.3) |
| • Female | 30 | (85.7) |
| Pathology, n (%) | | |
| • Malignant | 25 | (71.4) |
| • Benign | 10 | (28.6) |
| Types of surgery, n (%) | | |
| • Total thyroidectomy | 30 | (85.7) |
| • Partial thyroidectomy | 5 | (14.3) |
| Follow up assessment up to 1 year | | |
| • Recovered | 5 | (14.3) |
| • Unrecovered | 20 | (57.1) |
| • Missed | 10 | (28.6) |

Data are presented as range, or number (percentage).

Discussion

Dysphonia, or altered voice function, is a common complaint among patients who have had thyroid surgeries. RLN injury, which can result in vocal fold paralysis, is one of the most frequent causes of dysphonia, however there are other potential causes as well. Furthermore, inadequate glottic closure resulting from vocal fold paralysis can induce dysphonic symptoms as weakness, dyspnea, and diminished vocal loudness and range [13].

1 The present study is a prospective cohort study aimed to assessing voice dysfunctions following thyroid
2 surgery, and to identify dynamic changes during the follow-up period in order to provide diagnostic indicators that
3 address the nature of postoperative voice problems in adult patients who admitted to Assiut University Hospital in the
4 period from the first of November 2020 up to the end of November 2021.
5
6

7 Both the patient and the physician are concerned about voice quality preservation after thyroid surgery. One of
8 the main concerns during this operation is the preservation of the external branch of the superior laryngeal nerve and the
9 recurrent laryngeal nerve. The results of damaging these nerves during surgery are extensively documented [14],
10 however, voice alterations after thyroid surgery are more common than expected if they are exclusively caused by
11 neurological injury [15]. In the present study we observed that the vocal changes were significantly decreased from
12 51.0% after 15 days postoperatively to 33.0% after 2 months. Among these cases, 35.0% cases developed vocal fold
13 paralysis and complain of breathy voice (27% developed unilateral vocal fold paralysis, and 8% developed bilateral
14 focal fold lesions) and the remaining 16.0% cases have non-paralytic changes of voice. Also, only one case developed
15 gross lesion “bilateral vocal fold nodules”. Therefore, following thyroidectomy, patients reported a decline in voice
16 quality even in the absence of vocal fold paresis. Numerous factors, including endotracheal intubation, manipulation,
17 surgical cervical muscle stretching and stabilization, laryngeal nerve injuries, and cricothyroid muscle strains, might
18 result in post-thyroidectomy dysphonia. Furthermore, functional dysphonia can happen even in the absence of laryngeal
19 nerve damage [5].
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 According to Stojadinovic et al., 1–2 weeks following surgery, 30% of patients had vocal alterations; 3 months
34 later, this rate dropped to 14% [16], in three different time periods—preoperatively, two weeks after surgery, and three
35 months later—Soylu et al. assessed the vocal quality of forty-eight thyroidectomy patients (n = 8 lobectomy; n = 40
36 complete thyroidectomy). The authors reported vocal changes in 37.5% of patients in the early post-operative tim, and
37 14.6% of patients continued to have voice abnormalities three months following surgery [17], Page et al. assessed 395
38 thyroidectomy patients and found that 49% patients had voice impairment after surgery, of these patients, 46%
39 recovered in less than a month, and 3% remained had abnormal voice a year later [18].
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 Vocal fold paralysis among our studied cases were diagnosed in 35 cases at 1st postoperative and remained the
50 same in the second postoperative and only reduced to 33% in third postoperative follow up. After one year, 20 patients
51 (20.0%) persisted with vocal fold paralysis, 10 patients are missed from our follow up and 5 patients returned to their
52 normal vocal fold mobility. According to Iyomasa et al. (2019), out of 151 patients, 42 patients had vocal complaints in
53 the first post (27.8%), and this number decreased to 7.2% after six months. According to videolaryngoscopies, 144
54 individuals (95.3%) had normal preoperative examinations. Vocal fold palsies were documented in 34 paralyzes at the
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 first postoperative day; 32 recurrent laryngeal nerves and 2 superior laryngeal nerves. Ten patients (6.6%) remained
2 paralyzed in the RLN after six months [5].

3 Voice disturbance in patients following thyroidectomy is common and varies throughout various series of
4 cases reported in the literature [19]. The lack of consensus regarding the impact and effect of these alterations across the
5 many listed research could be contributed to the fact that each study provides a different design, and outcome, using
6 different measurement equipment, and postoperative assessment intervals.
7

8
9
10
11 Pedro Netto et al. examined 100 patients after partial (n=42) or whole (n=58) thyroidectomies, and discovered
12 vocal disorders in 29.7% of them without paralysis, indicating non-organic dysphonia. Ten patients received a diagnosis
13 of paralysis; only five percent complained of dysphonia [7].
14
15
16

17
18 Our finding about the vocal symptoms was higher than the previous mentioned voice alterations after
19 thyroidectomy with a rate of up to 51.0% (dysphonia due to vocal folds paralysis was diagnosed in 35 patients, and 16
20 patients suffered from dysphonia without vocal folds paralysis). This finding could be contributed to the fact that our
21 studied cases were enrolled from the Assiut University Hospital which is a tertiary health care educational hospital, thus
22 the lack of enough experience among the surgeons that participate in the current study could explain the high frequency
23 of nerve injury among our studied cases. In this regard, it is highly advised that any surgeon should not operate until he
24 has enough experience to do such critical surgery. Our study also included 28 malignant cases and 12 graves' disease
25 which had higher incidence of complications as mentioned before. Moreover, short period of follow up as spontaneous
26 vocal folds healing need a longer period to restore its function.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

37 The Voice Handicap Index (VHI) is a simple reliable, self-administered questionnaire that has been used to
38 identify negative voice outcomes following thyroidectomy [20]. This subjective evaluation showed significant
39 improvement in VHI subscales namely (emotional, physical, and social domains), and total score from 15 days
40 postoperatively to two months of follow up (P<0.001). According to Vahabzadeh-Hagh et al. (2019), patients who
41 experienced paralysis or paresis following thyroidectomy scored higher on the postoperative questionnaire, and there
42 was a greater difference between the preoperative and postoperative tests than in patients with normal vocal fold
43 mobility [21]. This fact supports the hypothesis that VHI can serve as a screening tool for post-thyroidectomy vocal
44 alterations when an increase of at least two points in the postoperative questionnaire is reported [21].
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 Thus, in agreement with the current study Söber et al. (2022) found a drop in the physical domain of the VHI
55 and an increase in postoperative voice strain. Subjective voice quality significantly decreased in patients with surgical
56 nerve injury (VHI total score and all subscales). Authors also noted notable variations in the VHI total score and
57 physical domain between patients with temporary and permanent palsy throughout the first week and first month of
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

visits. By the conclusion of the follow-up period, all changes, regardless of the nerve injury, had become statistically insignificant [22].

Detailed appraisal of vocal stability among our studied cases was achieved with frequency-based voice acoustic measures “computerized speech lab”. The parameters considered were the amplitude (shimmer, %), fundamental frequency (F0, Hz), glottal noise (i.e., the noise-to-harmonic ratio), and perturbations of the fundamental frequency (jitter, %) [23]. The cycle-to-cycle variability of vocal period and amplitude is indicated by mean percentage vocal jitter and shimmer, respectively [16]. One of the most commonly changed parameters in the current study is a lower-pitched voice, which may be attributable to altered venous drainage and vascular supply following surgery. This drop in vocal pitch could be caused by these vascular alterations as well as the mild congestion caused by the orotracheal intubation [17]. This decline has been shown in earlier research conducted months following surgery [24, 25]. In the early postoperative phase, there were notable jitter and shimmer changes that tend to diminish in the late postoperative period.

Moreover, according to Park et al. (2016), only 18.4% of patients had lower-pitched voices a year following surgery, despite 42.85% of female patients exhibiting a considerable decrease in pitch after surgery, particularly in the first six months. At two weeks following surgery, the voice changes of patients who underwent total thyroidectomy were much higher than those who underwent lobectomy, but there was no difference at the three, six, and twelve months follow-up [26]. Additionally, our results are consistent with those of Lang et al.'s systematic review from 2016, which discovered a significant decrease in F0 and an increase in shimmer and NHR in the immediate postoperative period. These changes tended to resolve over the course of the late postoperative period regardless of the vocal movement the patient displayed [19]. Similar to the evaluation points in the current study, the majority of the publications in this review conducted the early assessment 1-2 weeks following surgery and the late assessment 3-6 months following surgery.

However in the current study HRN ratio remain unchanged from preoperative and throughout the follow up period. Numerous earlier studies report no discernible changes in voice following surgery, which is consistent with our findings [6, 27-30]. For example Van Lierde [27], reported that following thyroidectomy, individuals' objective and perceptual voice quality matched a Dysphonia Severity Index of 66%, and that vocal performance did not change permanently. Furthermore, the vocal quality has no psychosocial handicapping effect; yet, there are greater vocal complaints just after thyroidectomy.

Li et al. [28] stated that after a unilateral thyroid lobectomy that did not result in laryngeal nerve injury, male patients' acoustic measures became slightly abnormal and returned to normal within 1 month, while female patients' indicators improved from before surgery and returned to normal within 3 months. Lombardi et al. [29] not observed

significant differences in acoustic voice analysis (AVA) and maximum phonation time (MPT) characteristics between preoperative and postoperative periods. Furthermore, Santosh et al. [30] declare that after total thyroidectomy, in the absence of apparent laryngeal nerve injury, the functional changes in voice were minor and transient.

Conclusion

Deterioration of voice quality is a common finding post-thyroidectomy. In addition, the patients and caregivers should be informed of the specific subjective discomforts that frequently accompany thyroid surgery. Furthermore, clinicians should advise patients that temporary voice difficulties are common after complete thyroidectomy, even without laryngeal nerve damage.


List of abbreviation:

| | |
|------|----------------------------------|
| APA | Auditory perceptual assessment |
| CSL | Computerized speech lab |
| Fo | Fundamental frequency |
| MPT | Maximum phonation time |
| RLN | Recurrent laryngeal nerve |
| RLNI | Recurrent laryngeal nerve injury |
| VF | Vocal Fold |
| VHI | Voice handicap index |

References

1. Azadbakht, M., S. Azadbakht, A. Pooria, and H. Chitgarchari, *Evaluation of one-year incidence of vocal dysfunction and associated demographic factors in thyroidectomy patients: A descriptive analytical study*. Annals of Medicine and Surgery, 2021. **62**: p. 469-472.
2. Sławeta, N. and S. Głuszek, *Effect of complicated thyroid surgical procedures on personal and professional life of patients*. Polski Przegląd Chirurgiczny, 2012. **84**(9): p. 437-444.
3. Hayward, N.J., S. Grodski, M. Yeung, W.R. Johnson, and J. Serpell, *Recurrent laryngeal nerve injury in thyroid surgery: a review*. ANZ journal of surgery, 2013. **83**(1-2): p. 15-21.
4. Chiang, F.-Y., K.-W. Lee, H.-C. Chen, et al., *Standardization of intraoperative neuromonitoring of recurrent laryngeal nerve in thyroid operation*. World journal of surgery, 2010. **34**(2): p. 223-229.
5. Iyomasa, R.M., J.V. Tagliarini, S.A. Rodrigues, E.L.M. Tavares, and R.H.G. Martins, *Laryngeal and vocal alterations after thyroidectomy*. Brazilian Journal of Otorhinolaryngology, 2019. **85**(1): p. 3-10.
6. Maeda, T., M. Saito, N. Otsuki, et al., *Voice quality after surgical treatment for thyroid cancer*. Thyroid, 2013. **23**(7): p. 847-53.
7. de Pedro Netto, I., A. Fae, J.G. Vartanian, et al., *Voice and vocal self-assessment after thyroidectomy*. Head Neck, 2006. **28**(12): p. 1106-14.
8. Akyildiz, S., F. Ogut, M. Akyildiz, and E.Z. Engin, *A multivariate analysis of objective voice changes after thyroidectomy without laryngeal nerve injury*. Archives of Otolaryngology–Head & Neck Surgery, 2008. **134**(6): p. 596-602.
9. Prabhat, A.K., N. Dash, and J. Gadekar, *Voice changes after thyroidectomy: an experience with 364 cases of thyroid surgery*. International Surgery Journal, 2018. **5**(2): p. 626-632.
10. Kotby, M., *Voice disorders: recent diagnostic advances*. Egypt J Otolaryngol, 1986. **3**(10): p. 69-98.

11. Jacobson, B.H., A. Johnson, C. Grywalski, et al., *The voice handicap index (VHI) development and validation*. American journal of speech-language pathology, 1997. **6**(3): p. 66-70.
12. Malki, K.H., T.A. Mesallam, M. Farahat, M. Bukhari, and T. Murry, *Validation and cultural modification of Arabic voice handicap index*. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol, 2010. **267**(11): p. 1743-51.
13. Yu, W.V. and C.W. Wu, *Speech therapy after thyroidectomy*. Gland Surg, 2017. **6**(5): p. 501-509.
14. Rosato, L., M.T. Carlevato, G. De Toma, and N. Avenia, *Recurrent laryngeal nerve damage and phonetic modifications after total thyroidectomy: surgical malpractice only or predictable sequence?* World journal of surgery, 2005. **29**(6): p. 780-784.
15. Chiang, F.-Y., L.-F. Wang, Y.-F. Huang, K.-W. Lee, and W.-R. Kuo, *Recurrent laryngeal nerve palsy after thyroidectomy with routine identification of the recurrent laryngeal nerve*. Surgery, 2005. **137**(3): p. 342-347.
16. Stojadinovic, A., A.R. Shaha, R.F. Orlikoff, et al., *Prospective functional voice assessment in patients undergoing thyroid surgery*. Annals of surgery, 2002. **236**(6): p. 823.
17. Soylu, L., S. Ozbas, H.Y. Uslu, and S. Kocak, *The evaluation of the causes of subjective voice disturbances after thyroid surgery*. The American Journal of Surgery, 2007. **194**(3): p. 317-322.
18. Page, C., R. Zaatari, A. Biet, and V. Strunski, *Subjective voice assessment after thyroid surgery: a prospective study of 395 patients*. Indian J Med Sci, 2007. **61**(8): p. 448-54.
19. Lang, B.H., C.K. Wong, and E.P. Ma, *A systematic review and meta-analysis on acoustic voice parameters after uncomplicated thyroidectomy*. Laryngoscope, 2016. **126**(2): p. 528-37.
20. Solomon, N.P., L.B. Helou, L.R. Henry, et al., *Utility of the voice handicap index as an indicator of postthyroidectomy voice dysfunction*. Journal of Voice, 2013. **27**(3): p. 348-354.
21. Vahabzadeh-Hagh, A.M., P. Pillutla, Z. Zhang, and D.K. Chhetri, *Dynamics of intrinsic laryngeal muscle contraction*. The Laryngoscope, 2019. **129**(1): p. E21-E25.
22. Söber, L., U. Lepner, Ü. Kirsimägi, L. Puksa, and P. Kasenõmm, *Voice and Swallowing Disorders After Thyroid Surgery*. J Voice, 2022.
23. Kim, M.R., Y.J. Park, B.W. Park, et al., *Can voice pitch be preserved in patients after transoral endoscopic thyroidectomy vestibular approach?* Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2020. **9**(9): p. 2777.
24. Park, J.S., E. Frank, A. Simental, et al., *Incidence of Dysphonia and Dysphagia Exceeds Recurrent Laryngeal Nerve Injury During Thyroid Surgery*. J Voice, 2021.
25. Tedla, M., S. Chakrabarti, M. Suchankova, and M. Weickert, *Voice outcomes after thyroidectomy without superior and recurrent laryngeal nerve injury: VoiSS questionnaire and GRBAS tool assessment*. European Archives of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology, 2016. **273**(12): p. 4543-4547.
26. Park, J.-O., J.-S. Bae, S.-H. Lee, et al., *The Long-Term Prognosis of Voice Pitch Change in Female Patients After Thyroid Surgery*. World Journal of Surgery, 2016. **40**(10): p. 2382-2390.
27. Van Lierde, K., E. D'haeseleer, F.L. Wuyts, et al., *Impact of thyroidectomy without laryngeal nerve injury on vocal quality characteristics: an objective multiparameter approach*. The Laryngoscope, 2010. **120**(2): p. 338-345.
28. Li, C., Z. Tao, J. Qu, T. Zhou, and F. Xia, *A voice acoustic analysis of thyroid adenoma patients after a unilateral thyroid lobectomy*. Journal of Voice, 2012. **26**(1): p. e23-e26.
29. Lombardi, C.P., L. D'Alatri, M.R. Marchese, et al., *Prospective electromyographic evaluation of functional postthyroidectomy voice and swallowing symptoms*. World journal of surgery, 2012. **36**(6): p. 1354-1360.
30. Santosh, M. and B. Rajashekhar, *Perceptual and acoustic analysis of voice in individuals with total thyroidectomy: pre-post surgery comparison*. Indian Journal of Otolaryngology and Head & Neck Surgery, 2011. **63**(1): p. 32-39.



Click here to access/download
Supplementary Material
Manuscript.doc

